TEMPERED STABLE DISTRIBUTIONS AND APPLICATIONS TO FINANCIAL MATHEMATICS
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Abstract. We investigate the class of tempered stable distributions and present applications to financial mathematics. Our analysis of tempered stable distributions includes limit distributions, parameter estimation and the study of their densities. Afterwards, we deal with stock price models driven by tempered stable processes and are concerned with the existence of appropriate martingale measures as well as option pricing.
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1. Introduction

Tempered stable distributions form a class of distributions that have attracted the interest of researchers from probability theory as well as financial mathematics. They have first been introduced in [27], where the associated Lévy processes are called “truncated Lévy flights”, and have been generalized by several authors. Tempered stable distributions form a six parameter family of infinitely divisible distributions, which cover several well-known subclasses like Variance Gamma distributions [34, 35], bilateral Gamma distributions [30] and CGMY distributions [7]. Properties of tempered stable distributions have been investigated, e.g., in [40, 47, 45, 4]. For financial modeling they have been applied, e.g., in [8, 36, 25, 3], see also the recent textbook [39].

In this paper, we contribute to the theory of tempered stable distributions from a probabilistic side as well as to their applications in financial mathematics. In the first part of this paper, we provide limit results for tempered stable distributions, deal with statistical issues and analyze their density functions. Afterwards, we deal with stock price models driven by tempered stable processes. Here, we will be concerned with choices of equivalent martingale measures and with option pricing formulas.

Tempered stable distributions cover the class of bilateral Gamma distributions, an analytical tractable class which we have investigated in [30, 31, 32]. Our subsequent investigations will show that, in many respects, the properties of bilateral Gamma distributions differ from those of all other tempered stable distributions (for example the properties of their densities, see Section 7, their \(p\)-variations, see Section 9, or results concerning the existence of equivalent martingale measures in finance, see Sections 11–14) and that bilateral Gamma distributions can be regarded as boundary points within the class of tempered stable distributions. In this paper, we are in particular interested in the question, which relevant properties for bilateral Gamma distributions still hold true for general tempered stable distributions.
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The remainder of this text is organized as follows: In Section 2 we review tempered stable distributions and collect some basic properties. In Section 3 we investigate closure properties of tempered stable distributions with respect to weak convergence. Afterwards, in Section 4 we show convergence of tempered stable distributions to normal distributions and provide a convergence rate. In Section 5 we prove “law of large numbers” results, with a view to parameter estimation from observation of a typical sample path, and in Section 6 we perform statistics for a finite number of realizations of tempered stable distributions. In Section 7 we analyze the densities of tempered stable distributions. In Section 8 we investigate equivalent measures under which a tempered stable process remains tempered stable, and in Section 9 we compute the $p$-variation index of tempered stable processes.

Our applications to finance start in Section 10, where we introduce the stock price model. In Sections 11, 12 we study Esscher transforms and bilateral Esscher transforms in order to obtain appropriate martingale measures. Section 13 is devoted to option pricing formulas and in Section 14 we treat the minimal martingale measure, which has applications to quadratic hedging.

2. Tempered stable distributions and processes

In this section, we introduce tempered stable distributions and processes and collect their relevant properties.

We call an infinitely divisible distribution $\eta$ on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ a one-sided tempered stable distribution, denoted $\eta = \text{TS}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$, with parameters $\alpha, \lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and $\beta \in [0, 1)$ if its characteristic function is given by

$$\varphi(z) = \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{izx} - 1) F(dx) \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}$$

(2.1)

where the Lévy measure $F$ is

$$F(dx) = \frac{\alpha}{x^{1+\beta}} e^{-\lambda x} \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x) dx.$$  

(2.2)

We call the Lévy process associated to $\eta$ a tempered stable subordinator.

Next, we fix parameters $\alpha^+, \lambda^+, \alpha^-, \lambda^- \in (0, \infty)$ and $\beta^+, \beta^- \in [0, 1)$. An infinitely divisible distribution $\eta$ on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ is called a tempered stable distribution, denoted

$$\eta = \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-),$$

if $\eta = \eta^+ * \eta^-$, where $\eta^+ = \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+)$ and $\eta^- = \tilde{\nu}$ with $\nu = \text{TS}(\alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-)$ and $\tilde{\nu}$ denoting the dual of $\nu$ given by $\tilde{\nu}(B) = \nu(-B)$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Note that $\eta$ has the characteristic function (2.1) with the Lévy measure $F$ given by

$$F(dx) = \left( \frac{\alpha^+}{x^{1+\beta^+}} e^{-\lambda^+ x} \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x) + \frac{\alpha^-}{|x|^{1+\beta^-}} e^{-\lambda^- |x|} \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(x) \right) dx.$$  

(2.3)

We call the Lévy process associated to $\eta$ a tempered stable process.

2.1. Remark. In [8, Sec. 4.5], the authors define generalized tempered stable processes for parameters $\alpha^+, \lambda^+, \alpha^-, \lambda^- > 0$ and $\beta^+, \beta^- < 2$ as Lévy processes with characteristic function

$$\varphi(z) = \exp \left( iz\gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{izx} - 1 - izx) F(dx) \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}$$

(2.4)

for some constant $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and Lévy measure $F$ given by (2.3). In the case $\beta^+ = \beta^-$ they call such a process a tempered stable process. The behaviour of the sample paths of a generalized tempered stable process $X$ depends on the values of $\beta^+, \beta^-:

- For $\beta^+, \beta^- < 0$ we have $F(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$, and hence, $X$ is a compound Poisson process and of type A in the terminology of [42, Def. 11.9].
For \( \beta^+, \beta^- \in [0, 1] \), which is the situation that we consider in the present paper, we have \( F(\mathbb{R}) = \infty \), but \( \int_{-1}^1 |x| F(dx) < \infty \). Therefore, \( X \) is a finite-variation process making infinitely many jumps in each interval of positive length, which we can express as \( X_t = \sum_{s \leq t} \Delta X_s \), and it belongs to type \( B \) in the terminology of [42, Def. 11.9]. In particular, we can decompose \( X \) as the difference of two independent one-sided tempered stable subordinators.

For \( \beta^+, \beta^- \in [1, 2] \) we have \( \int_{-1}^1 |x| F(dx) = \infty \). Therefore, the tempered stable process \( X \) has sample paths of infinite variation and belongs to type \( C \) in the terminology of [42, Def. 11.9].

2.2. Remark. The tempered stable distributions considered in this paper also correspond to the generalized tempered stable distributions in [39]. The following particular cases are known in the literature:

- \( \beta^+ = \beta^- \) is a Kotz distribution, see [6];
- \( \alpha^+ = \alpha^- \) and \( \beta^+ = \beta^- \) is a CGMY-distribution, see [7], also called classical tempered stable distribution in [39];
- \( \beta^+ = \beta^- \) and \( \lambda^+ = \lambda^- \) is the infinitely divisible distribution associated to a truncated Lévy flight, see [27];
- \( \beta^+ = \beta^- = 0 \) is a bilateral Gamma distribution, see [30];
- \( \alpha^+ = \alpha^- \) and \( \beta^+ = \beta^- = 0 \) is a Variance Gamma distribution, see [34, 35].

According to [8, Prop. 4.1], a tempered stable process \( X \) can be represented as a time changed Brownian motion with drift if and only if \( X \) is a CGMY-process. Accordingly, a bilateral Gamma process is a time changed Brownian motion if and only if it is a Variance Gamma process.

2.3. Remark. In [39], tempered stable distributions are considered as one-dimensional infinitely divisible distributions with Lévy measure

\[
F(dx) = q(x)F_{\text{stable}}(dx),
\]

where

\[
F_{\text{stable}}(dx) = \left( \frac{\alpha^+}{x^{1+\beta}} \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x) + \frac{\alpha^-}{|x|^{1+\beta}} \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(x) \right) dx
\]

is the Lévy measure of a \( \beta \)-stable distribution and \( q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) is a tempering function. For example, with

\[
q(x) = e^{-\lambda^+ x} \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x) + e^{-\lambda^- |x|} \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(x)
\]

and \( \alpha^+ = \alpha^- \) we have a CGMY-distribution. Further examples are the modified tempered stable distribution, the normal tempered stable distribution, the Kim-Rachev tempered stable distribution and the rapidly decreasing tempered stable distribution, see [39, Chapter 3.2]. Note that the Lévy measures of the tempered stable distributions considered in our paper are generally not of the form (2.5).

2.4. Remark. One can also consider multi-dimensional tempered stable distributions. In [40], a distribution \( \eta \) on \((\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))\) is called tempered \( \alpha \)-stable for \( \alpha \in (0, 2) \) if it is infinitely divisible without Gaussian part and Lévy measure \( M \), which in polar coordinates is of the form

\[
M(dr, du) = \frac{q(r, u)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \sigma(du),
\]

where \( \sigma \) is a finite measure on the unit sphere \( \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \) and \( q : (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \to (0, \infty) \) is a Borel function satisfying certain assumptions.

We shall now collect some basic properties of generalized tempered stable distributions which we require for this text. In the sequel, \( \Gamma : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\} \to \mathbb{R} \) denotes the Gamma function.
2.5. **Lemma.** Suppose that $\beta \in (0, 1)$. The one-sided tempered stable distribution

$$\eta = \text{TS}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$$

has the characteristic function

$$\varphi(z) = \exp \left( \alpha \Gamma(-\beta) \left[ (\lambda - iz)^{\beta} - (\lambda^{\beta}) \right] \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}$$

where the power stems from the main branch of the complex logarithm.

**Proof.** Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the region $G = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > -\lambda \}$. We define the functions $f_i : G \to \mathbb{C}$ for $i = 1, 2$ as

$$f_1(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{izx} - 1) F(dx) \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(z) := \alpha \Gamma(-\beta) \left[ (\lambda - iz)^{\beta} - (\lambda^{\beta}) \right].$$

Then $f_1$ is analytic, which follows from [11, Satz IV.5.8], and $f_2$ is analytic by the analyticity of the power function $z \mapsto z^\beta$ on the main branch of the complex logarithm. Let $B \subset G$ be the open ball $B = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \lambda \}$. Using (2.2) and Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, for all $z \in B$ we obtain

$$f_1(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{izx} - 1) F(dx) = \alpha \int_0^\infty (e^{izx} - 1) \frac{e^{-\lambda x}}{x^{1+\beta}} dx$$

$$= \alpha \int_0^\infty \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(izx)^n}{n!} \right) e^{-\lambda x} x^{1+\beta} dx = \alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(iz)^n}{n!} \int_0^\infty x^{n-\beta-1} e^{-\lambda x} dx$$

$$= a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(iz)^n}{n!} \Gamma(1+\beta) \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (k - \beta) = a \Gamma(-\beta) \lambda^\beta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(iz)^n}{n!} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (\lambda - k + 1)$$

$$= a \Gamma(-\beta) \lambda^\beta \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\beta}{n} \right)\left( -\frac{iz}{\lambda} \right)^n$$

$$= a \Gamma(-\beta) \left[ (\lambda - iz)^{\beta} - (\lambda^{\beta}) \right] = f_2(z).$$

By the identity theorem for analytic functions we deduce that $f_1 \equiv f_2$ on $G$, which in particular yields that $f_1 \equiv f_2$ on $\mathbb{R}$. In view of (2.1), this proves (2.6). \qed

2.6. **Lemma.** Suppose that $\beta^+, \beta^- \in (0, 1)$. The tempered stable distribution

$$\eta = \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+ ; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-)$$

has the characteristic function

$$\varphi(z) = \exp \left( \alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ (\lambda^+ - iz)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^{+\beta^+}) \right] \right.$$\hspace{1cm}

$$\quad + \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) \left[ (\lambda^- + iz)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^{-\beta^-}) \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{R}$$

where the powers stem from the main branch of the complex logarithm.

**Proof.** This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.5. \qed

According to equation (2.2) in [30], the characteristic function of a bilateral Gamma distribution (i.e. $\beta^+ = \beta^- = 0$) is given by

$$\varphi(z) = \left( \frac{\lambda^+}{\lambda^+ - iz} \right)^{\alpha^+} \left( \frac{\lambda^-}{\lambda^- + iz} \right)^{\alpha^-}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}$$

where the powers stem from the main branch of the complex logarithm.
Using Lemma 2.6, for $\beta^+, \beta^- \in (0, 1)$ the cumulant generating function

$$\Psi(z) = \alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ (\lambda^+ - z) \beta^+ - (\lambda^+) \beta^+ \right]$$

exists on $[-\lambda^+, \lambda^+]$ and is given by

$$\Psi(z) = \alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ (\lambda^+ - z) \beta^+ - (\lambda^+) \beta^+ \right] + \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) \left[ (\lambda^- + z) \beta^- - (\lambda^-) \beta^- \right], \quad z \in [-\lambda^-, \lambda^+] .$$

For a bilateral Gamma distribution (i.e. $\beta^+ = \beta^- = 0$), the cumulant generating function exists on $(-\lambda^-, \lambda^+)$ and is given by

$$\Psi(z) = \alpha^+ \ln \left( \frac{\lambda^+}{\lambda^+ - z} \right) + \alpha^- \ln \left( \frac{\lambda^-}{\lambda^- + z} \right), \quad z \in (-\lambda^-, \lambda^+)$$

see [30, Sec. 2]. Hence, for all $\beta^+, \beta^- \in [0, 1)$ the $n$-th order cumulant $\kappa_n = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} \Psi(z) |_{z=0}$ is given by

$$\kappa_n = \Gamma(n - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha^+}{(\lambda^+)^{n-\beta^+}} + (-1)^n \Gamma(n - \beta^-) \frac{\alpha^-}{(\lambda^-)^{n-\beta^-}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots \} .$$

In particular, for a random variable $X \sim TS(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-)$ we can specify:

- The expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \kappa_1 = \Gamma(1 - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha^+}{(\lambda^+)^{1-\beta^+}} - \Gamma(1 - \beta^-) \frac{\alpha^-}{(\lambda^-)^{1-\beta^-}} .$$

- The variance

$$\text{Var}[X] = \kappa_2 = \Gamma(2 - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha^+}{(\lambda^+)^{2-\beta^+}} + \Gamma(2 - \beta^-) \frac{\alpha^-}{(\lambda^-)^{2-\beta^-}} .$$

- The Charlier’s skewness

$$\gamma_1(X) = \frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_2^{3/2}} = \frac{\Gamma(3 - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha^+}{(\lambda^+)^{3-\beta^+}} - \Gamma(3 - \beta^-) \frac{\alpha^-}{(\lambda^-)^{3-\beta^-}}}{\left( \Gamma(2 - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha^+}{(\lambda^+)^{2-\beta^+}} + \Gamma(2 - \beta^-) \frac{\alpha^-}{(\lambda^-)^{2-\beta^-}} \right)^{3/2}} .$$

- The kurtosis

$$\gamma_2(X) = 3 + \frac{\kappa_4}{\kappa_2^2} = 3 + \frac{\Gamma(4 - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha^+}{(\lambda^+)^{4-\beta^+}} + \Gamma(4 - \beta^-) \frac{\alpha^-}{(\lambda^-)^{4-\beta^-}}}{\left( \Gamma(2 - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha^+}{(\lambda^+)^{2-\beta^+}} + \Gamma(2 - \beta^-) \frac{\alpha^-}{(\lambda^-)^{2-\beta^-}} \right)^2} .$$

2.7. **Remark.** Let $\eta = TS(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ be a one-sided tempered stable distribution. For $\beta \in (0, 1)$ the characteristic function is given by (2.6), see Lemma 2.5, and hence, the cumulant generating function exists on $(-\infty, \lambda]$ and is given by

$$\Psi(z) = \alpha \Gamma(-\beta) \left[ (\lambda - z)^\beta - \lambda^\beta \right], \quad z \in (-\infty, \lambda] .$$

For $\beta = 0$ the tempered stable distribution is a Gamma distribution $\eta = \Gamma(\alpha, \lambda)$. Therefore, we have the characteristic function

$$\varphi(z) = \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - iz} \right)^\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}$$

and the cumulant generating function exists on $(-\infty, \lambda)$ and is given by

$$\Psi(z) = \alpha \ln \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - z} \right), \quad z \in (-\infty, \lambda).$$
For $\beta \in [0, 1)$ and $X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ we obtain the cumulants
\begin{equation}
\kappa_n = \Gamma(n - \beta) \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{n - \beta}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\end{equation}
the expectation and the variance
\begin{align}
\mathbb{E}[X] &= \Gamma(1 - \beta) \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{1 - \beta}}, \\
\text{Var}[X] &= \Gamma(2 - \beta) \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{2 - \beta}}.
\end{align}

2.8. Remark. The characteristic function $\varphi(z)$ of the tempered stable distribution $\eta = \text{TS}(\alpha, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-)$ with $\beta^+, \beta^- < 2$ is given by
\begin{equation}
\varphi(z) = \exp \left( iz\gamma + \alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ (\lambda^+ - iz)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} + iz\beta^+(\lambda^+)^{\beta^+ - 1} \right] \\
+ \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) \left[ (\lambda^- + iz)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)^{\beta^-} - iz\beta^- (\lambda^-)^{\beta^- - 1} \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{equation}
Therefore, the cumulant generating function exists on $[-\lambda^-, \lambda^+]$ and is given by
\begin{equation}
\Psi(z) = \gamma z + \alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ (\lambda^+ - z)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} + \beta^+(\lambda^+)^{\beta^+ - 1} z \right] \\
+ \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) \left[ (\lambda^- + z)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)^{\beta^-} - \beta^- (\lambda^-)^{\beta^- - 1} z \right], \quad z \in [-\lambda^-, \lambda^+].
\end{equation}
Hence, we have $\kappa_1 = \gamma$ and the cumulants $\kappa_n$ for $n \geq 2$ are given by $\kappa_n = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^n}$.

For a tempered stable process $X$ we shall also write
\begin{equation}
X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-).
\end{equation}
Note that we can decompose $X = X^+ - X^-$ as the difference of two independent one-sided tempered stable subordinators $X^+ \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+)$ and $X^- \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-)$. In view of the characteristic function calculated in Lemma 2.6, all increments of $X$ have a tempered stable distribution, more precisely
\begin{equation}
X_t - X_s \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+(t - s), \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-(t - s), \beta^-, \lambda^-) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < t.
\end{equation}
In particular, for any constant $\Delta > 0$ the process $X_{\Delta t} = (X_{\Delta t})_{t \geq 0}$ is a tempered stable process
\begin{equation}
X_{\Delta t} \sim \text{TS}(\Delta \alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \Delta \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-).
\end{equation}

3. Closure properties of tempered stable distributions

In this section, we shall investigate limit distributions of sequences of tempered stable distributions.

3.1. Proposition. Let sequences
\begin{equation}
(\alpha_n^+, \beta_n^+; \lambda_n^+; \alpha_n^-, \beta_n^-, \lambda_n^-)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset ((0, \infty) \times [0, 1) \times (0, \infty))^2
\end{equation}
and real numbers
\begin{equation}
(\alpha^+, \beta^+; \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-) \in ((0, \infty) \times [0, 1) \times (0, \infty))^2
\end{equation}
be given. Then, the following statements are valid:

(1) If we have
\begin{equation}
(\alpha_n^+, \beta_n^+; \alpha_n^-, \beta_n^-; \lambda_n^-) \to (\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-),
\end{equation}
then we have the weak convergence
\begin{equation}
\text{TS}(\alpha_n^+, \beta_n^+; \alpha_n^-, \beta_n^-; \lambda_n^-) \xrightarrow{w} \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-).
\end{equation}
In order to prove the result, it suffices to show that the respective characteristic functions converge. Noting that, by l’Hôpital’s rule, for all \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( z \in \mathbb{R} \) we have

\[
\lim_{\beta \to 0} \Gamma(-\beta)[(\lambda - iz)^\beta - \lambda^\beta] = \lim_{\beta \to 0} \Gamma(-\beta)\lambda^\beta \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda - iz}{\lambda} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] = -\lim_{\beta \to 0} \Gamma(1 - \beta)\lambda^\beta \left( \frac{\lambda - iz}{\lambda} \right)^\beta - 1 = -\lim_{\beta \to 0} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left( \frac{\lambda - iz}{\lambda} \right)^\beta - 1 = -\lim_{\beta \to 0} \left( \frac{\lambda - iz}{\lambda} \right)^\beta \ln \left( \frac{\lambda - iz}{\lambda} \right) = -\lim_{\beta \to 0} \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left( \frac{\lambda - iz}{\lambda} \right)
\]

relations (3.1)–(3.3) follow by taking into account the representations (2.7), (2.8) and (2.6), (2.17) of the characteristic functions.

In the sequel, for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) we denote by \( \varphi_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} \) the characteristic function of the tempered stable distribution

\[
\text{TS}(\alpha_n^+, \beta^+; \lambda_n^+; \alpha_n^-, \beta^-; \lambda_n^-)
\]
and by \((\kappa^n_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\) its cumulants. Then we have
\[
\varphi_n(u) = \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(iu)j}{j!} \kappa^n_j \right), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Suppose that (3.4) is satisfied. Using the estimates (3.9) \(\Gamma(j - \beta^+) \leq (j - 1)!\) and \(\Gamma(j - \beta^-) \leq (j - 1)!\) for \(j \geq 2\), by the geometric series and (3.4), for all \(u \in \mathbb{R}\) we obtain
\[
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(iu)^j}{j!} \left( \Gamma(j - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha_n^+}{(\lambda_n^+)^{j-\beta^+}} + (-1)^j \Gamma(j - \beta^-) \frac{\alpha_n^-}{(\lambda_n^-)^{j-\beta^-}} \right) \\
\leq \frac{\alpha_n^+}{(\lambda_n^+)^{1-\beta^+}} |u| \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{|u|}{\lambda_n^+} \right)^{j-1} + \frac{\alpha_n^-}{(\lambda_n^-)^{1-\beta^-}} |u| \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{|u|}{\lambda_n^-} \right)^{j-1} \\
= \frac{\alpha_n^+}{(\lambda_n^+)^{1-\beta^+}} \frac{|u|^2}{\lambda_n^+ - |u|} + \frac{\alpha_n^-}{(\lambda_n^-)^{1-\beta^-}} \frac{|u|^2}{\lambda_n^- - |u|} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,
\]
and hence, by taking into account (2.11) and (3.4), we have
\[
\varphi_n(u) \to e^{iu\mu}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}
\]
proving (3.5). Now, suppose that (3.6), (3.7) are satisfied. Using the estimates (3.9), by the geometric series and (3.7), for all \(u \in \mathbb{R}\) we obtain
\[
\sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \frac{(iu)^j}{j!} \left( \Gamma(j - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha_n^+}{(\lambda_n^+)^{j-\beta^+}} + (-1)^j \Gamma(j - \beta^-) \frac{\alpha_n^-}{(\lambda_n^-)^{j-\beta^-}} \right) \\
\leq \frac{\alpha_n^+}{(\lambda_n^+)^{2-\beta^+}} |u|^2 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \left( \frac{|u|}{\lambda_n^+} \right)^{j-2} + \frac{\alpha_n^-}{(\lambda_n^-)^{2-\beta^-}} |u|^2 \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \left( \frac{|u|}{\lambda_n^-} \right)^{j-2} \\
= \frac{\alpha_n^+}{(\lambda_n^+)^{2-\beta^+}} \frac{|u|^3}{\lambda_n^+ - |u|} + \frac{\alpha_n^-}{(\lambda_n^-)^{2-\beta^-}} \frac{|u|^3}{\lambda_n^- - |u|} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,
\]
and hence, by taking into account (2.11) and (3.6), (3.7), we have
\[
\varphi_n(u) \to e^{i u \mu - u^2 \sigma^2 / 2}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}
\]
proving (3.8).

By (3.1), the class of tempered stable distributions is closed under weak convergence on its domain
\[(0, \infty) \times [0, 1) \times (0, \infty))^2.\]
Note that bilateral Gamma distributions (corresponding to \(\beta^+ = 0\) and \(\beta^- = 0\)) belong to this domain and are contained in its boundary. For \(\alpha^+ \to 0\) or \(\alpha^- \to 0\) we obtain one-sided tempered stable distributions and Dirac measures as boundary distributions, see (3.2) and (3.3). If \(\alpha^+, \alpha^-, \lambda^+, \lambda^- \to \infty\) for fixed valued of \(\beta^+, \beta^-,\) in certain situations we obtain a Dirac measure, see (3.5), or to a normal distribution, see (3.8), as limit distribution.

4. Convergence of Tempered Stable Distributions to a Normal Distribution

In [40, Sec. 3] the long time behaviour of tempered stable processes was studied and convergence to a Brownian motion was established. Here, we provide a convergence rate and show, how close a given tempered stable distribution (or tempered stable process) is to a normal distribution (or Brownian motion).
4.1. Lemma. The following statements are valid:

(1) Suppose \( X_i \sim \text{TS}(\alpha_i^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha_i^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-) \), \( i = 1, 2 \) are independent. Then we have

\[
X_1 + X_2 \sim \text{TS}(\alpha_1^+ + \alpha_2^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha_1^- + \alpha_2^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-).
\]

(2) For \( X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-) \) and a constant \( \rho > 0 \) we have

\[
\rho X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+ \rho^{\beta^+}, \beta^+, \lambda^+/\rho; \alpha^- \rho^{-\beta^-}, \beta^-, \lambda^-/\rho).
\]

Proof. For independent \( X_i \sim \text{TS}(\alpha_i^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha_i^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-) \), \( i = 1, 2 \) we have by Lemma 2.6 the characteristic function

\[
\varphi_{X_1+X_2}(z) = \varphi_{X_1}(z)\varphi_{X_2}(z)
\]

\[
= \exp \left( \alpha_1^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ (\lambda^+ - iz)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} \right] + \alpha_1^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) \left[ (\lambda^- + iz)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)^{\beta^-} \right] \right)
\]

\[
\times \exp \left( \alpha_2^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ (\lambda^+ - iz)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} \right] + \alpha_2^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) \left[ (\lambda^- + iz)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)^{\beta^-} \right] \right)
\]

\[
= \exp \left( (\alpha_1^+ + \alpha_2^+) \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ (\lambda^+ - iz)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} \right] + (\alpha_1^- + \alpha_2^-) \Gamma(-\beta^-) \left[ (\lambda^- + iz)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)^{\beta^-} \right] \right),
\]

showing (4.1). For \( X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-) \) and \( c > 0 \) we have by Lemma 2.6 the characteristic function

\[
\varphi_{\rho X}(z) = \varphi_X(\rho z) = \exp \left( \alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ (\lambda^+ - ipz)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} \right] + \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) \left[ (\lambda^- + ipz)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)^{\beta^-} \right] \right)
\]

\[
= \exp \left( \alpha^+ \rho^{\beta^+} \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ (\lambda^+/\rho - iz)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)/\rho^{\beta^+} \right] + \alpha^- \rho^{-\beta^-} \Gamma(-\beta^-) \left[ (\lambda^-/\rho + iz)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)/\rho^{\beta^-} \right] \right),
\]

showing (4.2). \( \square \)

4.2. Lemma. Let \( X \) be a random variable

\( X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-) \).

We set \( \mu := \mathbb{E}[X] \) and \( \sigma^2 := \text{Var}[X] \). Let \( \rho, \tau > 0 \) and

\[
Y \sim \text{TS}(\rho \alpha^+/(\tau \sqrt{\rho})^{\beta^+}, \beta^+, \lambda^+ \tau \sqrt{\rho}; \rho \alpha^-/(\tau \sqrt{\rho})^{\beta^-}, \beta^-, \lambda^- \tau \sqrt{\rho}).
\]

Then we have

\[
\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\tau} \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}[Y] = \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2}.
\]

Proof. Note that by (2.12), (2.13) we have

\[
\mu = \Gamma(1 - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha^+}{(\lambda^+)^{1-\beta^+}} - \Gamma(1 - \beta^-) \frac{\alpha^-}{(\lambda^-)^{1-\beta^-}}.
\]

\[
\sigma^2 = \Gamma(2 - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha^+}{(\lambda^+)^{2-\beta^+}} + \Gamma(2 - \beta^-) \frac{\alpha^-}{(\lambda^-)^{2-\beta^-}}.
\]

Therefore, we obtain

\[
\mathbb{E}[Y] = \Gamma(1 - \beta^+) \frac{\rho \alpha^+}{(\tau \sqrt{\rho})^{\beta^+} (\lambda^+ \tau \sqrt{\rho})^{1-\beta^+}} - \Gamma(1 - \beta^-) \frac{\rho \alpha^-}{(\tau \sqrt{\rho})^{\beta^-} (\lambda^- \tau \sqrt{\rho})^{1-\beta^-}}
\]

\[
= \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\tau} \left( \Gamma(1 - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha^+}{(\lambda^+)^{1-\beta^+}} - \Gamma(1 - \beta^-) \frac{\alpha^-}{(\lambda^-)^{1-\beta^-}} \right) = \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\tau} \mu.
\]
as well as
\[
\text{Var}[Y] = \Gamma(2 - \beta^+ + \frac{\rho \alpha^+}{(\tau \sqrt{b})^\beta}(\lambda^\beta \tau \sqrt{b})^{2-\beta^+} + \Gamma(2 - \beta^-) \frac{\rho \alpha^-}{(\tau \sqrt{b})^\beta}(\lambda^{-\beta} \tau \sqrt{b})^{2-\beta^-}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\tau^2} \left( \Gamma(2 - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha^+}{(\lambda^\beta)^{2-\beta^+}} + \Gamma(2 - \beta^-) \frac{\alpha^-}{(\lambda^{-\beta})^{2-\beta^-}} \right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2},
\]
finishing the proof. \hfill \Box

4.3. Lemma. Let \( X \) be a random variable
\[
X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-)
\]
and let \( \mu \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \sigma^2 > 0 \) be arbitrary. The following statements are equivalent:

1. We have \( \text{E}[X] = \mu \) and \( \text{Var}[X] = \sigma^2 \).
2. We have

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha^+ &= \frac{(\lambda^\beta)^{2-\beta^+}((1 - \beta^-)\mu + \lambda^-\sigma^2)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta^-)((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-)}, \\
\alpha^- &= \frac{(\lambda^{-\beta})^{2-\beta^-}((\beta^- - 1)\mu + \lambda^+\sigma^2)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta^-)((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-)}.
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Let \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \) be the matrix
\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\Gamma(1 - \beta^+)/(\lambda^+)^{1-\beta^+}}{\Gamma(2 - \beta^+)/(\lambda^+)^{2-\beta^+}} & -\frac{\Gamma(1 - \beta^-)/(\lambda^-)^{1-\beta^-}}{\Gamma(2 - \beta^-)/(\lambda^-)^{2-\beta^-}} \\
\frac{\Gamma(2 - \beta^+)/(\lambda^+)^{2-\beta^+}}{\Gamma(1 - \beta^-)((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-)} & \frac{\Gamma(2 - \beta^-)/(\lambda^-)^{2-\beta^-}}{\Gamma(1 - \beta^-)((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-)}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then we have
\[
\det A = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \beta^+)(2 - \beta^-)}{(\lambda^+)^{1-\beta^+}(\lambda^-)^{2-\beta^-}} + \frac{\Gamma(2 - \beta^+)(1 - \beta^-)}{(\lambda^+)^{2-\beta^+}(\lambda^-)^{1-\beta^-}}
\]
\[
= \frac{\Gamma(1 - \beta^+)(1 - \beta^-)}{(\lambda^+)^{2-\beta^+}(\lambda^-)^{1-\beta^-}} \left( \lambda^+(1 - \beta^-) + \lambda^-(1 - \beta^+) \right) > 0.
\]
Using (2.12), (2.13), a straightforward calculation shows that

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{11} \alpha^+ &= \lambda^+ \frac{(1 - \beta^-)\mu + \lambda^-\sigma^2}{(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-}, \\
a_{12} \alpha^- &= -\lambda^- \frac{(1 - \beta^+)\mu + \lambda^+\sigma^2}{(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-}, \\
a_{21} \alpha^+ &= (1 - \beta^+) \frac{(1 - \beta^-)\mu + \lambda^-\sigma^2}{(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-}, \\
a_{22} \alpha^- &= (1 - \beta^-) \frac{(1 - \beta^+)\mu + \lambda^+\sigma^2}{(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-}.
\end{align*}
\]

By (2.12), (2.13), we have \( \text{E}[X] = \mu \) and \( \text{Var}[X] = \sigma^2 \) if and only if
\[
A \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha^+ \\
\alpha^-
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\mu \\
\sigma^2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Because of (4.5), the system of linear equations (4.10) has a unique solution. Taking into account (4.6)–(4.9), the solution for (4.10) is given by (4.3), (4.4). \hfill \Box

4.4. Lemma. For \( X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda) \) we have
\[
\text{E}[X^3] = \Gamma(1 - \beta) \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^3 \beta} \left[ \Gamma(1 - \beta)^2 \alpha^2 \lambda^2 \beta + 3(1 - \beta)\Gamma(1 - \beta)\alpha \lambda^2 \beta + (1 - \beta)(2 - \beta) \right].
\]
Proof. Denoting by \( \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3 \) the first three cumulants of \( X \), by [38, p. 346] and (2.19) we obtain the third moment

\[
\mathbb{E}[X^3] = \kappa_1^3 + 3\kappa_1\kappa_2 + \kappa_3
\]

\[
= \left( G(1 - \beta) \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{1 - \beta}} \right)^3 + 3G(1 - \beta) \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{1 - \beta}} G(2 - \beta) \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{2 - \beta}} + G(3 - \beta) \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{3 - \beta}}
\]

\[
= G(1 - \beta) \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{3 - \beta}} \left[ G(1 - \beta)^2 \alpha^2 \lambda^{2\beta} + 3(1 - \beta) G(1 - \beta) \alpha \lambda^\beta + (1 - \beta)(2 - \beta) \right],
\]

completing the proof.

□

In the sequel, for \( \mu \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \sigma^2 > 0 \) the function \( \Phi_{\mu, \sigma^2} \) denotes the distribution function of the normal distribution \( \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \). Moreover, \( c > 0 \) denotes the constant from the Berry-Esseen theorem. The current best estimate is \( c \leq 0.4784 \), see [28, Cor. 1].

4.5. Proposition. There exists a function \( g : [0, 1]^2 \times (0, \infty)^2 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) such that for any fixed \( \beta^+, \beta^- \in [0, 1) \) we have

\[
g(\beta^+, \beta^-, \lambda^+, \lambda^-, \mu) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda^+, \lambda^-, \mu \to 0,
\]

and for any random variable

\[
X \sim \mathcal{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-),
\]

all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and any random variable

\[
X_n \sim \mathcal{TS}((\sqrt{n^{2-\beta^+}}/\sigma)\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^- \sqrt{n}),(\sqrt{n^{2-\beta^-}}/\sigma)\alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^- \sqrt{n})
\]

we have

\[
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |G_n(x) - \Phi_{0,1}(x)| \leq 32c \left[ (1 - \beta^+)(2 - \beta^+) \frac{(1 - \beta^-)\sqrt{n}\mu/\sigma^3 + \sqrt{n}\lambda^-/\sigma}{\sqrt{n}\lambda^+((1 - \beta^-)\sqrt{n}\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\sqrt{n}\lambda^-)} 
\right.

\[
+ (1 - \beta^-)(2 - \beta^-) \frac{(\beta^+ - 1)\sqrt{n}\mu/\sigma^3 + \sqrt{n}\lambda^+/\sigma}{\sqrt{n}\lambda^-((1 - \beta^-)\sqrt{n}\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\sqrt{n}\lambda^-)}
\]

\[
+ g(\beta^+, \beta^-, \lambda^+, \lambda^-, \mu) \bigg),
\]

where \( \mu : = \mathbb{E}[X], \sigma^2 : = \text{Var}(X) \) and \( G_n \) denotes the distribution function of the random variable \( X_n - \sqrt{n}\mu/\sigma \).

Proof. We define the functions \( g_i : [0, 1]^2 \times (0, \infty)^2 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2 \) as

\[
g_1(\beta^+, \beta^-, \lambda^+, \lambda^-, \mu) := \frac{(1 - \beta^-)\mu + \lambda^- \sigma^2}{\lambda^+((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-)}
\]

\[
\times \left[ \frac{(\lambda^+)^2((1 - \beta^-)\mu + \lambda^- \sigma^2)}{(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-} \right]^2 + 3(1 - \beta^-) \frac{(\lambda^+)^2((1 - \beta^-)\mu + \lambda^- \sigma^2)}{(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-} \right],
\]

\[
g_2(\beta^+, \beta^-, \lambda^+, \lambda^-, \mu) := \frac{(\beta^+ - 1)\mu + \lambda^+ \sigma^2}{\lambda^-((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-)}
\]

\[
\times \left[ \frac{(\lambda^-)^2((\beta^+ - 1)\mu + \lambda^+ \sigma^2)}{(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-} \right]^2 + 3(1 - \beta^-) \frac{(\lambda^-)^2((\beta^+ - 1)\mu + \lambda^+ \sigma^2)}{(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-} \right],
\]

and let \( g : [0, 1]^2 \times (0, \infty)^2 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be the function

\[
g := 32(g_1 + g_2).
\]

Then, for any fixed \( \beta^+, \beta^- \in [0, 1) \) we have (4.11).
Now, let \((Y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\) be an i.i.d. sequence of random variables with \(\mathcal{L}(Y_j) = \mathcal{L}(X)\) for all \(j \in \mathbb{N}\). We define the sequence \((S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) as \(S_n := \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j\) for \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). By Lemma 4.1 we have

\[
\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}\right) = \mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(\frac{\alpha}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}(\sqrt{n}^{2-\beta^+}/\sigma^{\beta^+})X^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+ \sigma\sqrt{n}; (\sqrt{n}^{2-\beta^-}/\sigma^{\beta^-})X^-, \beta^-, \lambda^- \sigma\sqrt{n})
\]

and therefore

\[
\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{X_n - \sqrt{n}\mu}{\sigma}\right) = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} - \frac{\sqrt{n}\mu}{\sigma}\right) = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{S_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}\right) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

By the Berry-Esseen theorem (see, e.g., [10, Thm. 2.4.9]) we have

\[
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |G_n(x) - \Phi_{0,1}(x)| \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X - \mu|^3]}{\sigma^3 \sqrt{n}} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

We have \(X = X^+ - X^-\) with independent random variables \(X^+ \sim \mathcal{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+)\) and \(X^- \sim \mathcal{TS}(\alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-)\), and therefore, using Hölder’s inequality and Jensen’s inequality we estimate

\[
\mathbb{E}[|X - \mu|^3] = \mathbb{E}[|X^+ - X^- - (\mathbb{E}[X^+] - \mathbb{E}[X^-])|^3]
\leq \mathbb{E}[(X^+ + \mathbb{E}[X^+] + X^- + \mathbb{E}[X^-])^3]
\leq 4^3\mathbb{E}[(X^+)^3 + \mathbb{E}[X^+]^3 + (X^-)^3 + \mathbb{E}[X^-]^3]
= 16(\mathbb{E}[(X^+)^3] + \mathbb{E}[X^+]^3 + \mathbb{E}[(X^-)^3] + \mathbb{E}[X^-]^3)
\leq 32(\mathbb{E}[(X^+)^3] + \mathbb{E}[(X^-)^3]).
\]

Using Lemma 4.4 we have

\[
\mathbb{E}[(X^+)^3] = \Gamma(1 - \beta^+)rac{\alpha^+}{(\lambda^+)^{2-\beta^+}}[\Gamma(1 - \beta^+)^2(\alpha^+)^2(\lambda^+)^{2\beta^+}
+ 3(1 - \beta^+)\Gamma(1 - \beta^+)^2(\alpha^+)(\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} + (1 - \beta^+)(2 - \beta^+)],
\]

\[
\mathbb{E}[(X^-)^3] = \Gamma(1 - \beta^-)\frac{\alpha^-}{(\lambda^-)^{2-\beta^-}}[\Gamma(1 - \beta^-)^2(\alpha^-)^2(\lambda^-)^{2\beta^-}
+ 3(1 - \beta^-)\Gamma(1 - \beta^-)^2(\alpha^-)(\lambda^-)^{\beta^-} + (1 - \beta^-)(2 - \beta^-)].
\]

Inserting identities (4.3), (4.4) from Lemma 4.3 yields

\[
\mathbb{E}[(X^+)^3] = (1 - \beta^+)(2 - \beta^+)\frac{(1 - \beta^-)\sqrt{n} + \lambda^- \sigma^2}{\lambda^+((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-)}
+ g_1(\beta^+, \beta^-, \lambda^+, \lambda^-, \mu),
\]

\[
\mathbb{E}[(X^-)^3] = (1 - \beta^-)(2 - \beta^-)\frac{(\beta^+ - 1)\sqrt{n} + \lambda^+ \sigma^2}{\lambda^-((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-)}
+ g_2(\beta^+, \beta^-, \lambda^+, \lambda^-, \mu).
\]
Therefore, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we conclude
\[
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |G_n(x) - \Phi_{0,1}(x)| \leq 32c \left\{ (1 - \beta^+)(2 - \beta^+) \frac{(1 - \beta^-)\mu + \lambda^-{\sigma^2}}{\lambda^+((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^-)} + (1 - \beta^-)(2 - \beta^-) \frac{(\beta^+ - 1)\mu + \lambda^+{\sigma^2}}{\lambda^-((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^-)} + g(\beta^+, \beta^-, \lambda^+, \lambda^-, \mu) \right\},
\]
finishing the proof.

4.6. **Proposition.** For any random variable
\[
X \sim TS(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-)
\]
with $\text{Var}[X] = 1$ we have
\[
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |G_{X - \mu}(x) - \Phi_{0,1}(x)| \leq 32c \left\{ (1 - \beta^+)(2 - \beta^+) \frac{(1 - \beta^-)\mu + \lambda^-}{\lambda^+((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^-)} + (1 - \beta^-)(2 - \beta^-) \frac{(\beta^+ - 1)\mu + \lambda^+}{\lambda^-((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^-)} + \epsilon \right\},
\]
where $\mu := \mathbb{E}[X]$.

**Proof.** Let $g : [0,1)^2 \times (0, \infty)^2 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ the function from Proposition 4.5. It suffices to show that for each $\epsilon > 0$ we have
\[
(4.13)
\]
\[
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |G_{X - \mu}(x) - \Phi_{0,1}(x)| \leq 32c \left\{ (1 - \beta^+)(2 - \beta^+) \frac{(1 - \beta^-)\mu + \lambda^-{\sigma^2}}{\lambda^+((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^-)} + (1 - \beta^-)(2 - \beta^-) \frac{(\beta^+ - 1)\mu + \lambda^+{\sigma^2}}{\lambda^-((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^-)} + \epsilon \right\}.
\]
Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
\[
(4.14)
\]
\[
g(\beta^+, \beta^-, \lambda^+{\sqrt{n}}, \lambda^-{\sqrt{n}}, \mu{\sqrt{n}}) \leq \epsilon.
\]
Let $Y$ be a random variable
\[
Y \sim TS(\alpha^+/\sqrt{n}^{2-\beta^+}, \beta^+/\sqrt{n}; \alpha^-/\sqrt{n}^{2-\beta^-}, \beta^-, \lambda^-/\sqrt{n}).
\]
Applying Lemma 4.2 with $\rho = 1/n$ and $\tau = 1$ we obtain
\[
\mathbb{E}[Y] = \mu/\sqrt{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}[Y] = 1 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Hence, defining the random variable $Y_n$ according to (4.12), we have
\[
\mathcal{L}(Y_n) = TS(\sqrt{n}^{2-\beta^+}(\alpha^+/\sqrt{n}^{2-\beta^+}), \beta^+, \sqrt{n}(\lambda^+/\sqrt{n}); \sqrt{n}^{2-\beta^-}(\alpha^-/\sqrt{n}^{2-\beta^-}), \beta^-, \sqrt{n}(\lambda^-/\sqrt{n}) = TS(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-) = \mathcal{L}(X).
\]

By Proposition 4.5 we deduce
\[ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |G_{X-\mu}(x) - \Phi_{0,1}(x)| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |G_{Y_n-\mu}(x) - \Phi_{0,1}(x)| \]
\[ \leq 32\varepsilon \left( (1 - \beta^+)(2 - \beta^+) \frac{(1 - \beta^-)\mu + \lambda^-}{\lambda^+((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-)} + (1 - \beta^-)(2 - \beta^-) \frac{(1 - \beta^-)\mu + \lambda^+}{\lambda^-((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-)} \right. \]
\[ + \left. g(\beta^+, \beta^-, \lambda^+/\sqrt{n}, \lambda^-/\sqrt{n}, \mu/\sqrt{n}) \right], \]
and, by virtue of estimate (4.14), we arrive at (4.13).

4.7. Theorem. For any random variable
\[ X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-) \]
we have the estimate
\[ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |G_X(x) - \Phi_{\mu, \sigma^2}(x)| \leq 32\varepsilon \left( (1 - \beta^+)(2 - \beta^+) \frac{(1 - \beta^-)\mu/\sigma^2 + \lambda^-/\sigma}{\lambda^+((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-)} + (1 - \beta^-)(2 - \beta^-) \frac{(1 - \beta^-)\mu/\sigma^2 + \lambda^+/\sigma}{\lambda^-((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-)} \right), \]
where \( \mu := \mathbb{E}[X] \) and \( \sigma^2 := \text{Var}[X] \).

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, the random variable \( X/\sigma \) has the distribution
\[ X/\sigma \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^{+}/\sigma^{+}, \beta^+; \lambda^+; \alpha^{-}/\sigma^{-}, \beta^-; \lambda^-) \]
and applying Lemma 4.2 with \( \rho = 1 \) and \( \tau = \sigma \) yields that
\[ \mathbb{E}[X/\sigma] = \mu/\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}[X/\sigma] = 1. \]
Moreover, since
\[ G_X(x) = G_{X/\sigma - \mu/\sigma} \left( \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{\mu, \sigma^2}(x) = \Phi_{0,1} \left( \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} \right) \quad \text{for all} \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \]
applying Proposition 4.6 gives us
\[ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |G_X(x) - \Phi_{\mu, \sigma^2}(x)| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |G_{X/\sigma - \mu/\sigma}(x) - \Phi_{0,1}(x)| \]
\[ \leq 32\varepsilon \left( (1 - \beta^+)(2 - \beta^+) \frac{(1 - \beta^-)\mu/\sigma + \lambda^-/\sigma}{\lambda^+((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-)} + (1 - \beta^-)(2 - \beta^-) \frac{(1 - \beta^-)\mu/\sigma + \lambda^+/\sigma}{\lambda^-((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)\lambda^-)} \right), \]
which completes the proof.

Theorem 4.7 tells us, how close the distribution of a tempered stable random variable \( X \) is to the normal distribution \( N(\mu, \sigma^2) \) with \( \mu = \mathbb{E}[X] \) and \( \sigma^2 = \text{Var}[X] \).
In the upcoming result, for given values of \( \mu \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \sigma^2 > 0 \) we construct a sequence of tempered stable distributions, which converges weakly to \( N(\mu, \sigma^2) \), and provide a convergence rate.
4.8. **Corollary.** Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma^2 > 0$ and 

$$(\beta^+, \lambda^+; \beta^-, \lambda^-) \in (0,1) \times (0,\infty)^2$$

be arbitrary. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$\alpha_n^+ := \frac{(\lambda^+)^{2-\beta^+}((1-\beta^-)\mu + \lambda^- \sigma^2 \sqrt{n})}{\Gamma(1-\beta^+)((1-\beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1-\beta^+)\lambda^-)} \sqrt{n}^{1-\beta^+}, \tag{4.15}$$

$$\lambda_n^+ := \lambda^+ \sqrt{n}, \tag{4.16}$$

$$\alpha_n^- := \frac{(\lambda^-)^{2-\beta^-}((\beta^+ - 1)\mu + \lambda^+ \sigma^2 \sqrt{n})}{\Gamma(1-\beta^-)((1-\beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1-\beta^+)\lambda^-)} \sqrt{n}^{1-\beta^-}, \tag{4.17}$$

$$\lambda_n^- := \lambda^- \sqrt{n}. \tag{4.18}$$

Then, there exists an index $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\alpha_n^+ > 0$ and $\alpha_n^- > 0$ for all integers $n \geq n_0$, and for any sequence $(X_n)_{n \geq n_0}$ of random variables with

$$X_n \sim \text{TS}(\alpha_n^+, \beta^+, \lambda_n^+; \alpha_n^-, \beta^-, \lambda_n^-), \quad n \geq n_0$$

we have the estimate

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |G_{X_n}(x) - \Phi_{\mu, \sigma^2}(x)| \leq \frac{32e}{\sqrt{n}} \left[ (1-\beta^+)(2-\beta^+) \frac{(1-\beta^-)\mu + \lambda^-}{\sigma^2 \sqrt{n} + \sigma} \right. \right. \left. + \left. \frac{(1-\beta^-)(2-\beta^-)}{\lambda^+((1-\beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1-\beta^+)\lambda^-)} \frac{(\beta^+ - 1)\mu + \lambda^+}{\sigma^2 \sqrt{n} + \sigma} \right] \to 0 \quad \text{for } n \to \infty. \tag{4.19}$$

**Proof.** The existence of an index $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\alpha_n^+ > 0$ and $\alpha_n^- > 0$ for all $n \geq n_0$ immediately follows from the Definitions (4.15), (4.17) of $\alpha_n^+, \alpha_n^-$. By Lemma 4.3 we have $E[X_n] = \mu$ and $\text{Var}[X_n] = \sigma^2$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Applying Theorem 4.7 yields the asserted estimate (4.19). \hfill \square

4.9. **Remark.** The Definitions (4.15)–(4.18) imply that

$$\frac{\alpha_n^+}{(\lambda_n^+)^{1-\beta^+}} \to \Gamma(1-\beta^+) \quad \frac{\alpha_n^-}{(\lambda_n^-)^{1-\beta^-}} \to \Gamma(1-\beta^-)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^+((1-\beta^-)\mu + \lambda^- \sigma^2 \sqrt{n})}{(1-\beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1-\beta^+)\lambda^-} - \frac{\lambda^-((\beta^+ - 1)\mu + \lambda^+ \sigma^2 \sqrt{n})}{(1-\beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1-\beta^+)\lambda^-} = \mu$$

for all $n \geq n_0$, the convergences

$$\frac{\alpha_n^+}{(\lambda_n^+)^{2-\beta^+}} \to \frac{\lambda^- \sigma^2}{\Gamma(1-\beta^+)((1-\beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1-\beta^+)\lambda^-)} =: (\sigma^+)^2,$$

$$\frac{\alpha_n^-}{(\lambda_n^-)^{2-\beta^-}} \to \frac{\lambda^+ \sigma^2}{\Gamma(1-\beta^-)((1-\beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1-\beta^+)\lambda^-)} =: (\sigma^-)^2$$

for $n \to \infty$ as well as

$$\Gamma(2-\beta^+)(\sigma^+)^2 + \Gamma(2-\beta^-)(\sigma^-)^2 = \frac{(1-\beta^+\lambda^- + (1-\beta^-)\lambda^+}{(1-\beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1-\beta^+)\lambda^-} \sigma^2 = \sigma^2.$$ 

Consequently, conditions (3.6), (3.7) are satisfied, and hence, Proposition 3.1 yields the weak convergence (3.8). In addition, Corollary 4.8 provides the convergence rate (4.19).

4.10. **Theorem.** For any tempered stable process

$$X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-)$$
we have the estimate
\begin{equation}
(4.20)
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |G_{X_t}(x) - G_{W_t}(x)| \leq \frac{32e}{\sqrt{t}} \left[ (1 - \beta^+)(2 - \beta^+) \frac{(1 - \beta^-)\mu/\sigma^3 + \lambda^-/\sigma}{\lambda^+((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^-)} 
+ (1 - \beta^-)(2 - \beta^-) \frac{(\beta^+ - 1)\mu/\sigma^3 + \lambda^+/\sigma}{\lambda^-((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^-)} \right] \to 0 \text{ for } t \to \infty,
\end{equation}
where \( \mu := \mathbb{E}[X_1], \sigma^2 := \text{Var}[X_1] \) and \( W \) is a Brownian motion with \( W_1 \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2) \).

**Proof.** Noting that by (2.12), (2.13) and (2.22) we have
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} & |G_{X_t}(x) - G_{W_t}(x)| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |G_{X_t}(x) - \Phi_{\mu,\sigma_i,1}(x)| \\
& \leq \frac{32e}{\sqrt{t}} \left[ (1 - \beta^+)(2 - \beta^+) \frac{(1 - \beta^-)\mu/\sigma^3 + \lambda^-/\sigma}{\lambda^+((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^-)} 
+ (1 - \beta^-)(2 - \beta^-) \frac{(\beta^+ - 1)\mu/\sigma^3 + \lambda^+/\sigma}{\lambda^-((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^-)} \right] \to 0 \text{ for } t \to \infty,
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
completing the proof. \( \square \)

4.11. **Corollary.** If we choose \( \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^2 > 0 \) and
\[ (\beta^+, \lambda^+; \beta^-, \lambda^-) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \infty)^2 \]
and choose
\begin{equation}
(4.21)
\alpha^+ := \frac{(\lambda^+)^2 - \beta^+((1 - \beta^-)\mu + \lambda^-/\sigma^2)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta^-)((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^-)} > 0,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
(4.22)
\alpha^- := \frac{(\lambda^-)^2 - \beta^-((\beta^+ - 1)\mu + \lambda^+/\sigma^2)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta^-)((1 - \beta^-)\lambda^+ + (1 - \beta^+)(1 - \beta^-)\lambda^-)} > 0,
\end{equation}
then for any tempered stable process
\[ X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-) \]
estimate (4.20) is valid.

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.3 we have \( \mathbb{E}[X_1] = \mu \) and \( \text{Var}[X_1] = \sigma^2 \). Applying Theorem 4.10 yields the desired estimate (4.20). \( \square \)

4.12. **Remark.** Note that conditions (4.21), (4.22) are always satisfied for \( \mu = 0 \).

5. **Laws of large numbers for tempered stable distributions**

In this section, we present laws of large numbers for tempered stable distributions. These results will be useful in order to determine parameters from the observation of a typical trajectory of a tempered stable process.

5.1. **Proposition.** We have the weak convergence
\begin{equation}
(5.1)
\text{TS}(n^{1-\beta^+} \alpha^+, \beta^+, n\lambda^+; n^{1-\beta^-} \alpha^-, \beta^-, n\lambda^-) \Rightarrow \delta_\mu \text{ for } n \to \infty,
\end{equation}
where the number \( \mu \in \mathbb{R} \) equals the mean
\[ \mu = \Gamma(1 - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha^+}{(\lambda^+)^{1-\beta^+}} - \Gamma(1 - \beta^-) \frac{\alpha^-}{(\lambda^-)^{1-\beta^-}}. \]
Proof. Let \((X_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\) be an i.i.d. sequence with 
\[ X_j \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-) \quad \text{for} \ j \in \mathbb{N}. \]
By (2.12) we have \(\mathbb{E}[X_j] = \mu\) for all \(j \in \mathbb{N}\), and Lemma 4.1 yields that 
\[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_j \sim \text{TS}(n^{1-\beta^+} \alpha^+, \beta^+, n\lambda^+; n^{1-\beta^-} \alpha^-, \beta^-, n\lambda^-), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \]
Using the law of large numbers, we deduce the weak convergence (5.1). \(\square\)

5.2. Remark. Note that we can alternatively establish the proof of Proposition 5.1 by applying the weak convergence (3.5) from Proposition 3.1.

In the sequel, we shall establish results in order to determine the parameters from the observation of one typical sample path of a tempered stable process 
\[ X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-). \]
For this, it suffices to treat the case of one-sided tempered stable processes. Indeed, we can decompose 
\[ X = X^+ - X^-, \]
where \(X^+ \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+; \beta^+, \lambda^+)\) and \(X^- \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^-; \beta^-, \lambda^-)\) are two independent one-sided tempered stable subordinators. Since 
\[ X_t = \sum_{s \leq t} \Delta X_s \]
the observation of a trajectory of \(X\) also provides the respective trajectories of \(X^+, X^-, \) which are given by 
\[ X^+_t = \sum_{s \leq t} (\Delta X_s)^+ \quad \text{and} \quad X^-_t = \sum_{s \leq t} (\Delta X_s)^-. \]

5.3. Remark. Note that our standing assumption \(\beta^+, \beta^- \in [0, 1)\) is crucial, because in the infinite variation case an observation of \(X\) does not provide the trajectories of the components \(X^+, X^-\).

Now, let \(X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)\) be a tempered stable process with \(\beta \in [0, 1)\). Suppose we observe the process at discrete time points, say \(X_{\Delta k}, k \in \mathbb{N}\) for some constant \(\Delta > 0\). By (2.23) we may assume, without loss of generality, that \(\Delta = 1\). Setting \(m_j := \mathbb{E}[X_j^+]\) for \(j = 1, 2, 3\), by the law of large numbers for \(n \to \infty\) we have almost surely 
\[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_k - X_{k-1})^j \to m_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \]
Hence, we obtain the moments \(m_1, m_2, m_3\) by inspecting a typical sample path of \(X\). According to [38, p. 346], the cumulants (2.19) are given by 
\[ \kappa_1 = m_1, \]
\[ \kappa_2 = m_2 - m_1^2, \]
\[ \kappa_3 = m_3 - 3m_1m_2 + 2m_1^3. \]
By means of the cumulants, we can determine the parameters \(\alpha, \beta, \lambda\), as the following result shows:

5.4. Proposition. The parameters \(\alpha, \beta, \lambda\) are given by

\[ \beta = 1 - \frac{\kappa_2^2}{\kappa_1 \kappa_3 - \kappa_2^2}, \]
\[ \lambda = (1 - \beta) \frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2}, \]
\[ \alpha = \frac{\lambda^{1-\beta}}{\Gamma(1-\beta) \kappa_1}. \]
Proof. According to (2.19) we have
\begin{equation}
\Gamma(1 - \beta)\alpha = \kappa_1\lambda^{1-\beta},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
(1 - \beta)\Gamma(1 - \beta)\alpha = \kappa_2\lambda^{2-\beta},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
(2 - \beta)(1 - \beta)\Gamma(1 - \beta)\alpha = \kappa_3\lambda^{3-\beta}.
\end{equation}
Equation (5.5) yields (5.4), and inserting (5.4) into (5.6) gives us (5.3). Note that
\begin{equation}
\kappa_1\kappa_3 > \kappa_2^2.
\end{equation}
Indeed, by (2.19) we have
\[
\kappa_1\kappa_3 = \Gamma(1 - \beta)\frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{1-\beta}}\Gamma(3 - \beta)\frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{3-\beta}} = (2 - \beta)\left(\Gamma(1 - \beta)\Gamma(2 - \beta)\frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{2-\beta}}\right)^2
\]
\[
= (2 - \beta)\kappa_2^2 > \kappa_2^2,
\]
because $\beta \in [0, 1)$. Inserting (5.4) into (5.7) we arrive at (5.2). \hfill \Box

5.5. Remark. Note that (5.8) ensures that the right-hand side of (5.2) is well-defined. We emphasize that for $\beta \in [1, 2)$ the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ cannot be computed by means of the first three cumulants $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$, because $\kappa_1$ does not depend on the parameters, see Remark 2.8. For analogous identities in this case, we would rather consider the cumulants $\kappa_2, \kappa_3, \kappa_4$.

For the next result, we suppose that $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Let $\varphi_{\beta} : \mathbb{R}_+ \to (0, \infty)$ be the strictly decreasing function
\[
\varphi_{\beta}(x) = (1 + \beta x)^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+.
\]
Let $N$ be the random measure associated to the jumps of $X$. Then $N$ is a homogeneous Poisson random measure with compensator $dt \otimes F(dx)$. We define the random variables $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ as
\[
Y_n := N(0,1] \times (\varphi_{\beta}(n + 1), \varphi_{\beta}(n)], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

5.6. Proposition. We have almost surely the convergence
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j \to \alpha.
\end{equation}

Proof. The random variables $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are independent and have a Poisson distribution with mean $\alpha_n = F((\varphi_{\beta}(n + 1), \varphi_{\beta}(n)])$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The function $\varphi_{\beta}$ has the derivative
\[
\varphi_{\beta}'(x) = -\frac{1}{\beta}(1 + \beta x)^{-\frac{2}{\beta}} - x^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+
\]
and thus, by substitution and Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem we obtain
\[
\alpha_n = F((\varphi_{\beta}(n + 1), \varphi_{\beta}(n)]) = \alpha \int_{\varphi_{\beta}(n+1)}^{\varphi_{\beta}(n)} e^{-\lambda x} \frac{dx}{x^{1+\beta}} = \alpha \int_{\varphi_{\beta}(n+1)}^{\varphi_{\beta}(n)} e^{-\lambda\varphi_{\beta}(y)} \varphi_{\beta}'(y) dy
\]
\[
= \alpha \int_{\varphi_{\beta}(n)}^{\varphi_{\beta}(n+1)} \frac{e^{-\lambda\varphi_{\beta}(y)}}{(1 + \beta y)^{1+\beta}} (1 + \beta y)^{-\frac{1+\beta}{\beta}} dy = \alpha \int_{\varphi_{\beta}(n+1)}^{\varphi_{\beta}(n)} e^{-\lambda\varphi_{\beta}(y)} dy
\]
\[
= \alpha \int_{1}^{\varphi_{\beta}(n+1)} e^{-\lambda\varphi_{\beta}(y)} dy \to \alpha \quad \text{for } n \to \infty.
\]
Consequently, we have
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Var}[Y_n]}{n^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{n^2} < \infty.
\]
Set \( S_n = Y_1 + \ldots + Y_n \) for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Applying Kolmogorov’s strong law of large numbers, see [44, Thm. IV.3.2], yields almost surely
\[
\frac{S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n]}{n} \to 0.
\]
Since we have
\[
\frac{\mathbb{E}[S_n]}{n} = \frac{\alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n}{n} \to \alpha,
\]
we arrive at the almost sure convergence (5.9). □

5.7. **Remark.** Let us consider the situation \( \beta = 0 \). For all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) we have
\[
\lim_{\beta \to 0} \psi_\beta(x) = \lim_{\beta \to 0} (1 + \beta x)^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} = e^{-x}.
\]
This suggests to take the sequence
\[
Y_n := \mathcal{N}((0, 1] \times (e^{-(n+1)}, e^{-n}]], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
\]
for a Gamma process \( X \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \lambda) \), and then, an analogous result is indeed true, see [30, Thm. 7.1].

5.8. **Remark.** Proposition 5.6 and Remark 5.7 show how we can determine the parameters \( \alpha, \lambda > 0 \) by inspecting a typical sample path of \( X \), provided that \( \beta \in [0, 1) \) is known. First, we determine \( \alpha > 0 \) according to Proposition 5.6 or Remark 5.7. By the strong law of large numbers we have almost surely \( X_n/n \to \mu \), where \( \mu > 0 \) denotes the expectation
\[
\mu = \Gamma(1 - \beta) \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{1-\beta}}.
\]
Now, we obtain the parameter \( \lambda > 0 \) as
\[
\lambda = \left( \frac{\alpha \Gamma(1 - \beta)}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}.
\]

6. **Statistics of tempered stable distributions**

This section is devoted to parameter estimation for tempered stable distributions. Let \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) be an i.i.d. sequence with
\[
X_k \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \ldots, n.
\]
Suppose we observe a realization \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \). We would like to estimate the vector of parameters
\[
\vartheta = (\vartheta_1, \ldots, \vartheta_6) = (\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+, \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-) \in D,
\]
where the parameter domain \( D \) is the open set
\[
D = ((0, \infty) \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty))^2.
\]
For bilateral Gamma distributions (i.e. \( \beta^+ = \beta^- = 0 \)) parameter estimation was provided in [30]. We perform the method of moments and estimate the \( k \)-th moments \( m_j = \mathbb{E}[X_k^j] \) as
\[
\hat{m}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, 6.
\]
According to (2.11) the vector
\[
\kappa = (\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_6) \in (\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))^3
\]
of cumulants is given by

\[
\kappa_j = \Gamma(j - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha^+}{(\lambda^+)^{j-\beta^+}} + (-1)^j \Gamma(j - \beta^-) \frac{\alpha^-}{(\lambda^-)^{j-\beta^-}}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, 6.
\]

Using [38, p. 346] we estimate \( \kappa \) as the vector

\[
\hat{\kappa} = (\hat{\kappa}_1, \ldots, \hat{\kappa}_6) \in (\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))^6
\]

with components

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\kappa}_1 &= \hat{m}_1, \\
\hat{\kappa}_2 &= \hat{m}_2 - \hat{m}_1^2, \\
\hat{\kappa}_3 &= \hat{m}_3 - 3\hat{m}_1\hat{m}_2 + 2\hat{m}_1^3, \\
\hat{\kappa}_4 &= \hat{m}_4 - 4\hat{m}_1\hat{m}_3 - 3\hat{m}_2^2 + 12\hat{m}_1^2\hat{m}_2 - 6\hat{m}_1^4, \\
\hat{\kappa}_5 &= \hat{m}_5 - 5\hat{m}_1\hat{m}_4 - 10\hat{m}_2\hat{m}_3 + 20\hat{m}_1^2\hat{m}_3 + 30\hat{m}_1\hat{m}_2^2 - 60\hat{m}_1^3\hat{m}_2 + 24\hat{m}_1^5, \\
\hat{\kappa}_6 &= \hat{m}_6 - 6\hat{m}_1\hat{m}_5 - 15\hat{m}_2\hat{m}_4 + 30\hat{m}_1^2\hat{m}_4 - 10\hat{m}_3^2 + 120\hat{m}_1\hat{m}_2\hat{m}_3 - 120\hat{m}_1^3\hat{m}_2 + 120\hat{m}_1^5.
\end{align*}
\]

and the function \( G : (\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))^3 \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6 \) as

\[
G_j(c, \hat{\vartheta}) := \Gamma(j - \hat{\beta}^+)\hat{\alpha}^+(\hat{\lambda}^-)^{j-\hat{\beta}^-} + (-1)^j \Gamma(j - \hat{\beta}^-)\hat{\alpha}^-(\hat{\lambda}^+)^{j-\hat{\beta}^+} - c_j (\hat{\alpha}^+)^{j-\hat{\beta}^+} (\hat{\lambda}^-)^{j-\hat{\beta}^-}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, 6,
\]

where

\[
c = (c_1, \ldots, c_6) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\vartheta} = (\hat{\alpha}^+, \hat{\beta}^+, \hat{\lambda}^+, \hat{\alpha}^-, \hat{\beta}^-, \hat{\lambda}^-).
\]

In order to obtain an estimate \( \hat{\vartheta} \) for \( \vartheta \) we solve the equation

\[
(6.2) \quad G(\hat{\kappa}, \hat{\vartheta}) = 0, \quad \hat{\vartheta} \in D.
\]

Let us have a closer look at equation (6.2) concerning existence and uniqueness of solutions. For the following calculations, we have used the Computer Algebra System “Maxima”.

6.1. Lemma. We have \( G \in C^1(D; \mathbb{R}^6) \) and for all \( \vartheta \in D \) and \( \kappa = \kappa(\vartheta) \) given by (6.1) we have \( G(\kappa, \vartheta) = 0 \) and \( \det \frac{\partial G}{\partial \vartheta}(\kappa, \vartheta) > 0 \).

Proof. The definition of \( G \) shows that \( G \in C^1(D; \mathbb{R}^6) \). Let \( \vartheta \in D \) be arbitrary and let \( \kappa = \kappa(\vartheta) \) be given by (6.1). The identity (6.1) yields that \( G(\kappa, \vartheta) = 0 \). Computing
the partial derivatives of $G$ and inserting the vector $(\kappa, \vartheta)$, for $j = 1, \ldots, 6$ we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial G_j}{\partial \alpha^+}(\kappa, \vartheta) = g_1(\lambda^-)^{j-1} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (k - \beta^+),
\]

\[
\frac{\partial G_j}{\partial \alpha^-}(\kappa, \vartheta) = g_2(-1)^j(\lambda^+)^{j-1} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (k - \beta^-),
\]

\[
\frac{\partial G_j}{\partial \beta^+}(\kappa, \vartheta) = g_3(\lambda^-)^{j-1} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (k - \beta^+) \left( \ln \lambda^+ - \psi(1 - \beta^+) - \sum_{k=0}^{j-2} \frac{1}{1 - \beta^+ + k} \right),
\]

\[
\frac{\partial G_j}{\partial \beta^-}(\kappa, \vartheta) = g_4(-1)^j(\lambda^+)^{j-1} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (k - \beta^-) \left( \ln \lambda^- - \psi(1 - \beta^-) - \sum_{k=0}^{j-2} \frac{1}{1 - \beta^- + k} \right),
\]

\[
\frac{\partial G_j}{\partial \lambda^+}(\kappa, \vartheta) = g_5(\lambda^-)^{j-1} \prod_{k=2}^{j} (k - \beta^+),
\]

\[
\frac{\partial G_j}{\partial \lambda^-}(\kappa, \vartheta) = g_6(-1)^j(\lambda^+)^{j-1} \prod_{k=2}^{j} (k - \beta^-),
\]

where $\psi : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes the Digamma function

\[
\psi(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \ln \Gamma(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}, \quad x \in (0, \infty)
\]

and where the $g_i, i = 1, \ldots, 6$ are given by

\[
g_1 = (\lambda^-)^{1-\beta^-} \Gamma(1 - \beta^+),
\]

\[
g_2 = (\lambda^+)^{1-\beta^+} \Gamma(1 - \beta^-),
\]

\[
g_3 = \alpha^+ (\lambda^-)^{1-\beta^-} \Gamma(1 - \beta^+),
\]

\[
g_4 = \alpha^- (\lambda^+)^{1-\beta^+} \Gamma(1 - \beta^-),
\]

\[
g_5 = -\alpha^+(\lambda^+)^{-1}(\lambda^-)^{1-\beta^-} \Gamma(2 - \beta^+),
\]

\[
g_6 = -\alpha^-(\lambda^-)^{-1}(\lambda^+)^{1-\beta^+} \Gamma(2 - \beta^-).
\]

Let $p_1$ be the polynomial

\[
p_1(\beta^+, \beta^-) = -18(\beta^+)^3(\beta^-)^2 + 84(\beta^+)^3 \beta^- + 168(\beta^+)^2(\beta^-)^2
\]

\[
- 99(\beta^+)^3 - 766(\beta^+)^2 \beta^- - 507(\beta^+)^2(\beta^-)^2
\]

\[
+ 885(\beta^+)^2 + 2243 \beta^+ \beta^- + 504(\beta^-)^2 - 2522 \beta^+ - 2160 \beta^- + 2356,
\]

let $p_2$ be the polynomial

\[
p_2(\beta^+, \beta^-) = -14(\beta^+)^4(\beta^-)^3 + 98(\beta^+)^4(\beta^-)^2 + 126(\beta^+)^3(\beta^-)^3
\]

\[
- 227(\beta^+)^4 \beta^- - 852(\beta^+)^3 \beta^- - 391(\beta^+)^2(\beta^-)^2
\]

\[
+ 175(\beta^+)^3 + 1908(\beta^+)^2(\beta^-)^2 + 2542(\beta^+)^2(\beta^-)^2 + 499 \beta^+ (\beta^-)^3
\]

\[
- 142(\beta^+)^3 - 5508(\beta^+)^2 \beta^- - 3076 \beta^+ (\beta^-)^2 - 232(\beta^-)^3
\]

\[
+ 3989(\beta^+)^2 + 6395 \beta^+ \beta^- + 1336(\beta^-)^2 - 4490 \beta^+ - 2628 \beta^- + 1772.
\]
and let $p_3$ be the polynomial
\[
p_3(\beta^+, \beta^-) = -63(\beta^+)^4(\beta^-)^3 + 462(\beta^+)^4(\beta^-)^2 + 609(\beta^+)^3(\beta^-)^3
- 1092(\beta^+)^4\beta^- - 4286(\beta^+)^3(\beta^-)^2 - 2112(\beta^+)^2(\beta^-)^3
+ 837(\beta^+)^4 + 9686(\beta^+)^3\beta^- + 14198(\beta^+)^2(\beta^-)^2 + 3111\beta^+(\beta^-)^3
- 7185(\beta^+)^3 - 30373(\beta^+)^2\beta^- - 19850\beta^+(\beta^-)^2 - 1689(\beta^-)^3
+ 21587(\beta^+)^2 + 39598\beta^+\beta^- + 10244(\beta^-)^2
- 26507\beta^+ - 18923\beta^- + 11748.
\]

A plot with the Computer Algebra System “Maxima” shows that $p_i > 0$ on $(0, 1)^2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and so we obtain
\[
\det \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta}(\kappa, \vartheta) = g_1 \cdots g_6(\lambda^+\lambda^-)^3 \left[(1 - \beta^+)^2(2 - \beta^+)^3(3 - \beta^+)^3(4 - \beta^+)(\lambda^-)^9
+ (1 - \beta^+)^2(2 - \beta^+)^3(3 - \beta^+)(4 - \beta^+)(7 - 3\beta^-)(11 - 3\beta^+)(\lambda^+)(\lambda^-)^8
+ 2(1 - \beta^+)^2(2 - \beta^+)^3(3 - \beta^+)(\lambda^+)^2(\lambda^-)^7
+ 6(2 - \beta^+)(3 - \beta^+)(\lambda^+)^3(\lambda^+)^7
+ 2(2 - \beta^+)(2 - \beta^-)(\lambda^+)^4(\lambda^-)^5
+ 6(2 - \beta^-)(3 - \beta^-)(\lambda^+)^5(\lambda^+)^4
+ 6(2 - \beta^-)(3 - \beta^-)(\lambda^+)^6(\lambda^-)^3
+ 2(1 - \beta^-)^2(2 - \beta^-)(3 - \beta^-)(\lambda^+)^7(\lambda^-)^2
+ (1 - \beta^-)^2(2 - \beta^-)^3(3 - \beta^-)(4 - \beta^-)(7 - 3\beta^+)(11 - 3\beta^-)(\lambda^+)^8(\lambda^-)^9
+ (1 - \beta^-)^2(2 - \beta^-)^3(3 - \beta^-)^3(4 - \beta^-)(\lambda^+)^9\right] > 0,
\]

finishing the proof.

Taking into account Lemma 6.1, by the implicit function theorem (see, e.g., [48, Thm. 8.1]) there exist an open neighborhood $U_\kappa \subset (\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))^3$ of $\kappa$, an open neighborhood $U_\vartheta \subset D$ of $\vartheta$ and a function $g \in C^1(U_\kappa; U_\vartheta)$ such for all $(\hat{\kappa}, \hat{\vartheta}) \in U_\kappa \times U_\vartheta$ we have
\[
G(\hat{\kappa}, g(\hat{\kappa})) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \hat{\vartheta} = g(\hat{\kappa}).
\]

Recall that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the number of observations of the realization. If $n$ is large enough, then, by the law of large numbers, we have $\hat{\kappa} \in U_\kappa$, and hence $\hat{\vartheta} := g(\hat{\kappa})$ is the unique $U_\vartheta$-valued solution for (6.2). This is our estimate for the vector $\vartheta$ of parameters.

### 7. Analysis of the Densities of Tempered Stable Distributions

In this section, we shall derive structural properties of the densities of tempered stable distributions. This concerns unimodality, smoothness of the densities and their asymptotic behaviour.

First, we deal with one-sided tempered stable distributions. Let $\eta = TS(\alpha, \lambda, \beta)$ be a one-sided tempered stable distribution with $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Note that for $\beta = 0$ we would have the well-known Gamma distribution.

In view of the Lévy measure (2.2), we can express the characteristic function of $\eta$ as
\[
(7.1) \quad \varphi(z) = \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{izx} - 1) \frac{k(x)}{x} \, dx \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}
\]
where $k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes the function

$$k(x) = \alpha \frac{e^{-\lambda x}}{x^\beta} \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{7.2}$$

Note that $k > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ and that $k$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Furthermore, we have $k(0+) = \infty$ and $\int_0^1 |k(x)| dx < \infty$.

It is an immediate consequence of [42, Cor. 15.11] that $\eta$ is selfdecomposable, and hence absolutely continuous according to [42, Example 27.8]. In what follows, we denote by $g$ the density of $\eta$.

Note that $\eta$ is also of class $L$ in the sense of [43]. Indeed, identity (7.1) shows that the characteristic function is of the form (1.5) in [43] with $\gamma_0 = 0$ and $\sigma^2 = 0$.

7.1. Theorem. The density $g$ of the tempered stable distribution $\eta$ with $\beta \in (0, 1)$ is of class $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and there exists a point $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$
g'(x) > 0, \quad x \in (-\infty, x_0) \tag{7.3}$$

$$
g'(x_0) = 0, \tag{7.4}$$

$$
g'(x) < 0, \quad x \in (x_0, \infty). \tag{7.5}$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\max \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(1 - \beta)}{\lambda^{1-\beta}} - \left(3\Gamma(2 - \beta) \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{2-\beta}} \right)^{1/2}, \xi_0 \right\} < x_0 \tag{7.6}
$$

where $\xi_0 \in (0, \infty)$ denotes the unique solution of the fixed point equation

$$
\alpha^{1/\beta} \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda}{\beta} \xi_0 \right) = \xi_0. \tag{7.7}
$$

Proof. Since $k(0+) + |k(0-)| = \infty$, it follows from [43, Thm. 1.2] that $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Furthermore, since $\int_0^1 |k(x)| dx < \infty$, the distribution $\eta$ is of type $I_6$ in the sense of [43, page 275]. According to [43, Thm. 1.3.vii] there exists a point $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that (7.3)–(7.5) are satisfied.

Let $X$ be a random variable with $\mathbb{L}(X) = \eta$. By [43, Thm. 6.1.i] and (2.20) we have

$$
x_0 < \mathbb{E}[X] = \Gamma(1 - \beta) \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{1-\beta}}.
$$

Furthermore, by using [43, Thm. 6.1.ii] we have

$$
\frac{\alpha}{x_0^{\beta/(1-\beta)}} = \frac{\alpha}{x_0} \int_0^{x_0} \frac{1}{x^\beta} dx = \frac{\alpha}{x_0} \int_0^{x_0} e^{-\lambda x} x^\beta dx = \frac{1}{x_0} \int_0^{x_0} k(x) dx > 1,
$$

which implies the inequality

$$
x_0 < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{1-\beta}}\right)^{1/\beta}.
$$

By [43, Thm. 6.1.v] and (2.20), (2.21) we have

$$
x_0 > \mathbb{E}[X] - (3 \text{Var}[X])^{1/2} = \Gamma(1 - \beta) \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{1-\beta}} - \left(3\Gamma(2 - \beta) \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{2-\beta}} \right)^{1/2}.
$$

Moreover, by [43, Thm. 6.1.vi] we have $x_0 > \xi_0$, where the point $\xi_0 \in (0, \infty)$ is given

$$
\xi_0 = \sup\{u > 0 : k(u) \geq 1\}.
with \( k \) being the strictly decreasing function (7.2). Hence, \( x \) is the unique solution of the fixed point equation (7.7). Summing up, we have established relation (7.6). □

The unique point \( x_0 \in (0, \infty) \) from Theorem 7.1 is called the mode of \( \eta \).

7.2. Proposition. We have the asymptotic behaviour
\[
\ln g(x) \sim -\frac{1 - \beta}{\beta} (\alpha \Gamma(1 - \beta))^{\frac{1}{1 - \beta}} x^{-\frac{\beta}{1 - \beta}} \quad \text{as } x \downarrow 0.
\]
In particular, \( g(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \downarrow 0 \).

Proof. We have \( \eta \in I_6 \) in the sense of [43, page 275] and
\[
k(x) \sim \alpha x^{-\beta} \quad \text{as } x \downarrow 0.
\]
Thus, the assertion follows from [43, Thm. 5.2]. □

We shall now investigate the asymptotic behaviour of the densities for large values of \( x \). Our idea is to relate the tail of the infinitely divisible distribution to the tail of the Lévy measure. Results of this kind have been established, e.g., in [12] and [46].

We denote by \( \nu := F \) the Lévy measure of \( \eta \), which is given by (2.2). Then we have
\[
\nu(r) := \nu((r, \infty)) > 0 \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{R},
\]
i.e. \( \eta \in D_+ \) in the sense of [46]. We define the normalized Lévy measure \( \nu(1) \) on \((1, \infty)\) as
\[
\nu(1)(dx) := \frac{1}{\nu((1, \infty))} \mathbb{1}_{(1, \infty)}(x) \nu(dx)
\]
According to [46] we say that \( \nu(1) \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma) \) for some \( \gamma \geq 0 \), if for all \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) we have
\[
\nu(1)(r + a) \sim e^{-a\gamma} \nu(1)(r) \quad \text{as } r \to \infty.
\]

7.3. Lemma. We have \( \nu(1) \in \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \).

Proof. Let \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) be arbitrary. By l’Hôpital’s rule we have
\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\nu(1)(r + a)}{\nu(1)(r)} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{r+a}^\infty e^{-\lambda x} x^{1+\beta} dx \quad \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\nu(1)(r + a)}{\nu(1)(r)} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{r^{1+\beta} e^{\lambda r - \lambda (r + a)}}{r^{1+\beta} e^{\lambda r}} = e^{-a\lambda},
\]
showing that \( \nu(1) \in \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \). □

We define the quantity \( d^* \) as
\[
d^* := \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\nu(1)(r + a)}{\nu(1)(r)}.
\]

7.4. Lemma. We have the identity
\[
d^* = \frac{2\alpha}{\beta \nu((1, \infty))}.
\]

Proof. We denote by \( g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) the density of the normalized Lévy measure
\[
g(x) := \frac{\alpha}{\nu((1, \infty))} e^{-\lambda x} x^{1+\beta} \mathbb{1}_{(1, \infty)}(x).
\]
Using l'Hôpital's rule, we obtain
\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\nu(1) \ast \nu(1)(r)}{\nu(1)(r)} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\int_1^r \int_1^\infty g(x-y)g(y)dydx}{\int_1^r g(x)dx} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\int_1^\infty g(r-y)g(y)dy}{g(r)} = \frac{\alpha}{\nu((1,\infty))} \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{r^{1+\beta}}{r^{1-\alpha} \int_1^r e^{-\lambda(r-y)} e^{-\lambda y} dy} = \frac{\alpha}{\nu((1,\infty))} \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_1^{r-1} \frac{r}{(r-y) y} \frac{1}{1+\beta} dy.
\]
By symmetry, for all \( r \in (1,\infty) \) we have
\[
\int_1^{r-1} \frac{r}{(r-y) y} \frac{1}{1+\beta} dy = \int_1^{r/2} \frac{r}{(r-y) y} \frac{1}{1+\beta} dy + \int_1^{r-1} \frac{r}{(r-y) y} \frac{1}{1+\beta} dy = 2 \int_1^{r/2} \frac{r}{(r-y) y} \frac{1}{1+\beta} dy.
\]
Using the estimate
\[
\frac{r}{r-y} \leq 2 \quad \text{for all} \quad r \in (0,\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad y \in [1,r/2],
\]
by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we obtain
\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \int_1^\infty \frac{r}{(r-y) y} \frac{1}{1+\beta} dy = \int_1^\infty \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{r}{(r-y) y} \frac{1}{1+\beta} dy = \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{y1+\beta} dy = \frac{1}{\beta},
\]
which completes the proof.

For a probability measure \( \rho \) on \((\mathbb{R},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))\) denote by \( \hat{\rho} \) the cumulant generating function
\[
\hat{\rho}(s) := \int_\mathbb{R} e^{sx} \rho(dx).
\]

7.5. **Lemma.** The following identity holds:
\[
2\hat{\nu}(1)(\lambda) = \frac{2\alpha}{\beta \nu((1,\infty))}.
\]

**Proof.** The computation
\[
\hat{\nu}(1)(\lambda) = \int_\mathbb{R} e^{\lambda x} \nu(1)(dx) = \frac{\alpha}{\nu((1,\infty))} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^{1+\beta}} dx = \frac{\alpha}{\beta \nu((1,\infty))}
\]
yields the desired identity.

7.6. **Lemma.** We have the identity
\[
\hat{\eta}(\lambda) = \exp(-\alpha \Gamma(-\beta) \lambda^\beta).
\]

**Proof.** This is a direct consequence of (2.16).

7.7. **Theorem.** We have the asymptotic behaviour
\[(7.8)\quad g(x) \sim \alpha \exp(-\alpha \Gamma(-\beta) \lambda^\beta) \frac{e^{-\lambda x}}{x^{1+\beta}} \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty.\]

**Proof.** By Lemma 7.3 we have \( \nu(1) \in \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \) and by Lemmas 7.4, 7.5 we have \( d^* = 2\nu(1)(\lambda) < \infty. \) Thus, [46, Thm. 2.2.ii] applies and yields \( C_* = C^* = \hat{\eta}(\lambda), \) where
\[
C_* := \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\eta(r)}{\nu(r)} \quad \text{and} \quad C^* := \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\eta(r)}{\nu(r)}.
\]
Using Lemma 7.6 and l’Hôpital’s rule we obtain
\[
\exp(-\alpha \Gamma(-\beta) \lambda^\beta) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\pi(r)}{\pi(r)} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_r^\infty g(x) dx / \alpha \int_r^\infty e^{-\lambda x} x^{1+\beta} dx = \frac{1}{\alpha} \lim_{r \to \infty} g(r) / \frac{e^{-\lambda r}}{r^{1+\beta}},
\]
and hence, we arrive at (7.8).

Now, we proceed with general two-sided tempered stable distributions. Let
\[
\eta = TS(\alpha^+, \lambda^+, \beta^+; \alpha^-, \lambda^-, \beta^-)
\]
be a tempered stable distribution with \(\beta^+, \beta^- \in (0, 1)\). For bilateral Gamma distributions (i.e. \(\beta^+ = \beta^- = 0\)) the behaviour of the densities was treated in [31].

In view of the Lévy measure (2.3), we can express the characteristic function of \(\eta\) as (7.1), where \(\gamma_0 = 0\) and \(\sigma^2 = 0\). In what follows, we denote by \(g\) the density of \(\eta\).

Note that \(\eta \sim \nu\) with \(\eta^+ = TS(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \gamma^+)\) and \(\eta^- = \tilde{\nu}\) with \(\nu = TS(\alpha^-, \beta^-, \gamma^-)\) and \(\tilde{\nu}\) denoting the dual of \(\nu\).

**7.8. Theorem.** The density \(g\) of the tempered stable distribution \(\eta\) is of class \(C^\infty(\mathbb{R})\) and there exists a point \(x_0 \in \mathbb{R}\) such that (7.3)–(7.5) are satisfied. Moreover, we have \(k(0+) = \infty\), \(k(0-) = -\infty\) and \(\int_{-1}^1 |k(x)| dx < \infty\).

**Proof.** Since \(k(0+) + |k(0-)| = \infty\), it follows from [43, Thm. 1.2] that \(g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})\).

Furthermore, since \(\int_{-1}^1 |k(x)| dx < \infty\), the distribution \(\eta\) is of type \(\Pi_6\) in the sense of [43, page 275]. According to [43, Thm. 1.3.xi] there exists a point \(x_0 \in \mathbb{R}\) with the claimed properties.

Since \(|k(0-)| > 1\), an application of [43, Thm. 4.1.iv] yields \(x_0 \in (x_0^-, x_0^+)\).

**7.9. Remark.** Using Theorem 7.1, the mode \(x_0\) from Theorem 7.8 is located as
\[
\begin{align*}
- \min \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta^-)}{(\lambda^-)^{1-\beta^-}}, \left( \frac{\alpha^-}{1-\beta^-} \right)^{1/\beta^-} \right\} &< x_0 \\
< \min \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta^+)}{(\lambda^+)^{1-\beta^+}}, \left( \frac{\alpha^+}{1-\beta^+} \right)^{1/\beta^+} \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]

We shall now investigate the asymptotic behaviour of the densities for large values of \(x\). By symmetry, it suffices to consider the situation \(x \to \infty\).

**7.10. Theorem.** Let \(g\) be the density of the tempered stable distribution \(\eta\). Then we have the asymptotic behaviour
\[
g(x) \sim C e^{-\lambda x} x^{-1+\beta} \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty,
\]
where the constant \(C > 0\) is given by
\[
C = \alpha^+ \exp\left( - \alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) (\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} + \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) (\lambda^+) (\lambda^-)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)^{\beta^-} \right).
\]
Proof. Let \( \nu := F \) be the Lévy measure of \( \eta \) given by (2.3). Arguing as in the proofs of Lemma 7.3 and Lemmas 7.4, 7.5 we have \( \nu(1) \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda^+) \) and \( d^* = 2\nu(1)(\gamma) < \infty \). Thus, [46, Thm. 2.2.ii] applies and yields \( C_* = C^* = \hat{\eta}(\lambda^+) \). By (2.9) we have

\[
\hat{\eta}(\lambda^+) = \int_R e^{\lambda^+ x} \eta(dx) = \exp(\Psi(\lambda^+))
\]

\[
= \exp\left(-\alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+)(\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} + \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-)\left[(\lambda^+ + \lambda^-)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)^{\beta^-}\right]\right),
\]

and hence, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 7.7 we arrive at (7.10).

\[\square\]

7.11. Remark. We shall now compare the densities of general tempered stable distributions with those of bilateral Gamma distributions \((\beta^+ = \beta^- = 0)\):

- The densities of tempered stable distributions are generally not available in closed form. For bilateral Gamma distributions we have a representation in terms of the Whittaker function, see [31, Section 3].

- Theorem 7.8 and [31, Thm. 5.1] show that both, tempered stable and bilateral Gamma distributions, are unimodal.

- The location of the mode \( x_0 \) is generally not known, but, due to (7.9) we can determine an interval in which it is located. For some results regarding the mode of bilateral Gamma densities, we refer to [31, Prop. 5.2].

- According to Theorem 7.8, the densities of tempered stable distributions are of class \( C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \). This is not true for bilateral Gamma distributions, where the degree of smoothness depends on the parameters \( \alpha^+, \alpha^- \). More precisely, we have \( g \in C^N(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \) and \( g \in C^{N-1}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus C^N(\mathbb{R}) \), where \( N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) is the unique integer such that \( N < \alpha^+ + \alpha^- \leq N + 1 \), see [31, Thm. 4.1].

- For tempered stable distributions the densities have the asymptotic behaviour (7.10). In contrast to this, the densities of bilateral Gamma distributions have the asymptotic behaviour

\[
g(x) \sim C x^{\alpha^- - 1} e^{-\lambda^+ x} \quad \text{as } x \to \infty,
\]

for some constant \( C > 0 \), see [30, Section 6].

8. Density Transformations of Tempered Stable Processes

Equivalent changes of measure are important for option pricing in financial mathematics. As we shall see in the forthcoming Sections 11, 12, under certain measure changes a tempered stable process \( X \) is still tempered stable.

The purpose of the present section is to determine all locally equivalent measure changes under which \( X \) remains tempered stable. This was already outlined in [8, Example 9.1]. Here, we are also interested in the corresponding density process. For the computation of the density process we will use that \( X = X^+ - X^- \) can be decomposed as the difference of two independent subordinators.

In order to apply the results from Section 33 in [42], we assume that \( \Omega = \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+) \) is the space of càdlàg functions equipped with the natural filtration \( \mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_s : s \in [0, t]) \) and the \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{F} = \sigma(X_t : t \geq 0) \), where \( X \) denotes the canonical process \( X_t(\omega) = \omega(t) \). Let \( P \) be a probability measure on \((\Omega, \mathcal{F})\) such that

\[
X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha_1^+, \beta_1^+, \lambda_1^+; \alpha_1^-, \beta_1^-, \lambda_1^-)
\]

is a tempered stable process. Furthermore, let \( Q \) be another probability measure on \((\Omega, \mathcal{F})\) such that

\[
X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha_2^+, \beta_2^+, \lambda_2^+; \alpha_2^-, \beta_2^-, \lambda_2^-)
\]

under \( Q \). We shall now investigate, under which conditions the probability measures \( P \) and \( Q \) are locally equivalent.
8.1. Proposition. The following statements are equivalent:

(1) The measures $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$ are locally equivalent.
(2) We have $\alpha_1^+ = \alpha_2^+,$ $\alpha_1^- = \alpha_2^-,$ $\beta_1^+ = \beta_2^+$ and $\beta_1^- = \beta_2^-.$

Proof. The Radon-Nikodym derivative $\Phi = \frac{dF_2}{dF_1}$ of the Lévy measures is given by

$$
\Phi(x) = \frac{\alpha_2^+}{\alpha_1^+} e^{\beta_1^+-\beta_2^+} e^{-(\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+)x} \mathbb{I}_{(0,\infty)}(x) \\
+ \frac{\alpha_2^-}{\alpha_1^-} |x|^{\beta_2^+ - \beta_2^-} e^{-(\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+)|x|} \mathbb{I}_{(-\infty,0)}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

According to [42, Thm. 33.1], the measures $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$ are locally equivalent if and only if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 - \sqrt{\Phi(x)}\right)^2 F_1(dx) < \infty.
$$

Since we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 - \sqrt{\Phi(x)}\right)^2 F_1(dx) \\
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_2^+}{\alpha_1^+} e^{\beta_1^+-\beta_2^+} e^{-(\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+)x}}\right)^2 \right. \\
\left. + \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_2^-}{\alpha_1^-} |x|^{\beta_2^+ - \beta_2^-} e^{-(\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+)|x|}}\right)^2 dx
$$

condition (8.1) is satisfied if and only if we have $\alpha_1^+ = \alpha_2^+,$ $\alpha_1^- = \alpha_2^-,$ $\beta_1^+ = \beta_2^+$ and $\beta_1^- = \beta_2^-.$

Now suppose that $\alpha_1^+ = \alpha_2^+ =: \alpha^+,$ $\alpha_1^- = \alpha_2^- =: \alpha^-,$ $\beta_1^+ = \beta_2^+ =: \beta^+$ and $\beta_1^- = \beta_2^- =: \beta^-.$ We shall determine the Radon-Nikodym derivatives $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$ for $t \geq 0.$

We decompose $X = X^+ - X^-$ as the difference of two independent one-sided tempered stable subordinators and denote by $\Psi^+,$ $\Psi^-$ the respective cumulant generating functions, which can be computed by means of (2.16), (2.18).

8.2. Proposition. The Radon-Nikodym derivatives of the measure transformation are given by

$$
\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \exp \left( (\lambda_1^+ - \lambda_2^+) X_t^+ - \Psi^+(\lambda_1^+ - \lambda_2^+) t \right) \\
\times \exp \left( (\lambda_1^- - \lambda_2^-) X_t^- - \Psi^- (\lambda_1^- - \lambda_2^-) t \right), \quad t \geq 0.
$$

Proof. The Radon-Nikodym derivative $\Phi = \frac{dF_2}{dF_1}$ of the Lévy measures is given by

$$
\Phi(x) = \frac{dF_2}{dF_1} = e^{-(\lambda_2^+ - \lambda_1^+)x} \mathbb{I}_{(0,\infty)}(x) + e^{-(\lambda_2^- - \lambda_1^-)|x|} \mathbb{I}_{(-\infty,0)}(x).
$$
A straightforward calculations shows that
\[
\sum_{s \leq t} \ln \Phi(\Delta X_s) = \sum_{s \leq t} \ln e^{-(\lambda_2^+ - \lambda_1^-)\Delta X_s^+} + \sum_{s \leq t} \ln e^{-(\lambda_2^- - \lambda_1^+)\Delta X_s^-}
\]
\[
= (\lambda_1^- - \lambda_2^+) \sum_{s \leq t} \Delta X_s^+ + (\lambda_1^- - \lambda_2^-) \sum_{s \leq t} \Delta X_s^-
\]
\[
= (\lambda_1^+ - \lambda_2^-) X_t^+ + (\lambda_1^- - \lambda_2^-) X_t^- , \quad t \geq 0
\]
as well as
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Phi(x) - 1)F_1(dx)
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(\lambda_2^+ - \lambda_1^-)x} \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(x) + e^{-(\lambda_2^- - \lambda_1^+)x} \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0)}(x) (1 - F_1(dx))
\]
\[
= \alpha^+ \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-(\lambda_2^+ - \lambda_1^-)x}}{x^{1+\beta}} dx + \alpha^- \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-(\lambda_2^- - \lambda_1^+)x}}{x^{1+\beta}} dx
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(\lambda_2^+ - \lambda_1^-)x} (1 - F_1^+(dx)) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(\lambda_2^- - \lambda_1^+)x} (1 - F_1^-(dx))
\]
\[
= \Psi^+(\lambda_1^+ - \lambda_2^-) + \Psi^- (\lambda_1^- - \lambda_2^+).
\]
According to [42, Thm. 33.2] the Radon-Nikodym derivatives are given by
\[
d\frac{dQ}{dP}\big|_{f_t} = \exp \left( \sum_{s \leq t} \ln \Phi(\Delta X_s) - t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Phi(x) - 1)F_1(dx) \right)
\]
\[
= \exp \left( (\lambda_1^+ - \lambda_2^-) X_t^+ - \Psi^+(\lambda_1^+ - \lambda_2^-) t \right)
\]
\[
\times \exp \left( (\lambda_1^- - \lambda_2^+) X_t^- - \Psi^- (\lambda_1^- - \lambda_2^+) t \right), \quad t \geq 0
\]
which finishes the proof. \qed

8.3. **Remark.** With the notation of Section 12, the measure \( Q \) is the bilateral Esscher transform \( Q = \mathbb{P}(\lambda_1^+ - \lambda_2^- - \lambda_1^-) \), see Definition 12.1 below.

9. **The p-variation index of a tempered stable process**

In this section, we compute the p-variation index, which is a measure of the smoothness of the sample paths, for tempered stable processes. The p-variation has been investigated in various different contexts, see, e.g., [5, 17, 18, 37, 1, 9, 21, 22, 20].

For \( t \geq 0 \) let \( Z[0,t] \) be set of all decompositions
\[
\Pi = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n = t\}
\]
of the interval \([0,t]\). For a function \( f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R} \) we define the p-variation \( V_p(f) : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) as
\[
V_p(f)_t := \sup_{\Pi \in Z[0,t]} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |f_{t_{i+1}} - f_{t_i}|^p, \quad t \geq 0.
\]
Note that for any \( t \geq 0 \) the relation \( V_p(f)_t < \infty \) implies that \( V_q(f)_t < \infty \) for all \( q > p \).

9.1. **Remark.** There exist functions \( f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R} \) with \( V_p(f)_t = \infty \) for all \( t > 0 \) and all \( p > 0 \). Indeed, let \( f := 1_{\mathbb{Q}_+} \) and fix an arbitrary \( t > 0 \). For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) we set \( t_i := \frac{t}{n}, \quad i = 0, \ldots, n, \) for each \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) we choose an irrational number \( s_i \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \) with \( t_{i-1} < s_i < t_i \) and we define the partition
\[
\Pi_n := \{0 = t_0 < s_1 < t_1 < \ldots < s_n < t_n = t\}.
\]
Then, for each $p > 0$ we have
\[ \sum_{u_i \in \mathbb{N}} |f_{u_i+1} - f_{u_i}|^p = 2n \to \infty \quad \text{for } n \to \infty, \]
showing that $V_p(f)_t = \infty$.

For a function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ we define the $p$-variation index
\[ \gamma(f) := \inf\{ p > 0 : V_p(f)_t < \infty \text{ for all } t \geq 0 \}, \]
where we agree to set $\inf\emptyset := \infty$. The $p$-variation index is a measure of the smoothness of a function $f$ in the sense that smaller $p$-variation indices mean smoother behaviour of $f$. Here are some examples:

- For every absolutely continuous function $f$ we have $\gamma(f) \leq 1$;
- For a Brownian motion $W$ we have $\gamma(W(\omega)) = 2$ for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$;
- For the function $f := \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ we have $\gamma(f) = \infty$, see Remark 9.1.

In fact, for a Lévy process $X$ the $p$-variation index $\gamma(X(\omega))$ does not depend on $\omega \in \Omega$. In order to determine $\gamma(X)$, we introduce the Blumenthal-Getoor index $\beta(X)$ (which goes back to [5]) as
\[ \beta(X) := \inf\{ p > 0 : \int_{-1}^{1} |x|^p F(dx) < \infty \}, \]
where $F$ denotes the Lévy measure of $X$. Note that $\beta(X) \leq 2$. According to [5, Thm. 4.1, 4.2] and [37, Thm. 2] we have $\gamma(X) = \beta(X)$ almost surely. Moreover, by [5, Thm. 3.1, 3.3] we have almost surely
\[ \lim_{t \to 0} t^{-1/p} X_t = 0, \quad p > \beta(X) \]
\[ \limsup_{t \to 0} t^{-1/p} |X_t| = \infty, \quad p < \beta(X). \]

Now, let $X$ be a tempered stable process
\[ X \sim \Gamma(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-). \]

9.2. Proposition. We have $\gamma(X) = \beta(X) = \max\{\beta^+, \beta^-\}$.

Proof. For any $p > 0$ we have
\[ \int_{-1}^{1} |x|^p F(dx) = \alpha^+ \int_{0}^{1} \frac{e^{-\lambda^+ x}}{x^{1+\beta^+} - p} dx + \alpha^- \int_{-1}^{0} \frac{e^{-\lambda^- |x|}}{|x|^{1+\beta^-} - p} dx \]
\[ = \alpha^+ \int_{0}^{1} \frac{e^{-\lambda^+ x}}{x^{1+\beta^+} - p} dx + \alpha^- \int_{0}^{1} \frac{e^{-\lambda^- x}}{x^{1+\beta^-} - p} dx, \]
which is finite if and only if $p > \max\{\beta^+, \beta^-\}$. This shows $\beta(X) = \max\{\beta^+, \beta^-\}$. Since $\gamma(X) = \beta(X)$, the proof is complete. \qed

We obtain the following characterization of bilateral Gamma processes within the class of tempered stable processes:

9.3. Corollary. We have $\gamma(X) = 0$ if and only if $X$ is a bilateral Gamma process.

Consequently, we may regard bilateral Gamma processes as the smoothest class of processes among all tempered stable processes.
10. Stock price models driven by tempered stable processes

Now, we turn to our applications in finance and consider stock models driven by tempered stable processes. Let \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})\) be a filtered probability space satisfying the usual conditions. A \textit{tempered stable stock model} is an exponential Lévy model of the type

\[
\begin{align*}
S_t &= S_0 e^{X_t}, \\
B_t &= e^{rt},
\end{align*}
\]

where \(X\) denotes a tempered stable process and \(S\) is a dividend paying stock with deterministic initial value \(S_0 > 0\) and dividend rate \(q \geq 0\). Furthermore, \(B\) is the bank account with interest rate \(r \geq 0\). In what follows, we assume that \(r \geq q \geq 0\), that is, the dividend rate \(q\) of the stock cannot exceed the interest rate \(r\) of the bank account and none of them is negative.

An equivalent probability measure \(Q \sim \mathbb{P}\) is a \textit{local martingale measure} if the discounted stock price process

\[
\tilde{S}_t := e^{-(r-q)t} S_t = S_0 e^{X_t - (r-q)t}, \quad t \geq 0
\]

is a local \(Q\)-martingale. In fact, an equivalent measure \(Q \sim \mathbb{P}\) is a local martingale measure if and only if it is a martingale measure, that is, the discounted stock price is a martingale, see [32, Lemma 2.6].

The existence of a martingale measure \(Q \sim \mathbb{P}\) ensures that the stock market is free of arbitrage, and the price of an European option \(\Phi(S_T)\), where \(T > 0\) is the time of maturity and \(\Phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) the payoff profile, is given by

\[
\pi = e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}_Q[\Phi(S_T)].
\]

10.1. \textbf{Lemma.} Let \(X\) be a tempered stable distribution

\[
X \sim \Gamma(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-)
\]

under \(\mathbb{P}\) with \(\beta^+, \beta^- \in (0, 1)\). Then the following statements are valid:

1. If \(\lambda^+ \geq 1\), then \(\mathbb{P}\) is a martingale measure for \(\tilde{S}\) if and only if

\[
\alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+)(\lambda^+ - 1)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)\beta^+ + \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-)(\lambda^- + 1)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)\beta^- = r - q.
\]

2. If \(\lambda^+ < 1\), then \(\mathbb{P}\) is never a martingale measure for \(\tilde{S}\).

\textbf{Proof.} If \(\lambda^+ \geq 1\), we have \(E[e^{X_1}] < \infty\). By [32, Lemma 2.6] the discounted price process \(\tilde{S}\) in (10.2) is a local martingale if and only if \(E[e^{X_1 - (r-q)t}] = 1\), which, by taking into account (2.9), is the case if and only if (10.3) holds.

In the case \(\lambda^+ < 1\) we have \(E[e^{X_1}] = \infty\). [32, Lemma 2.6] implies that \(\tilde{S}\) cannot be a local martingale. \(\square\)

11. Existence of Esscher martingale measures in tempered stable stock models

One method to find an equivalent martingale measure is to use the so-called \textit{Esscher transform}, which was pioneered in [14]. We shall study the existence of Esscher martingale measures in this section.

11.1. \textbf{Definition.} Let \(X\) be a tempered stable process

\[
X \sim TS(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-)
\]
under $\mathbb{P}$ and let $\Theta \in (-\lambda^-, \lambda^+)$ be arbitrary. The Esscher transform $\mathbb{P}^\Theta$ is defined as the locally equivalent probability measure with likelihood process
\begin{equation}
\Lambda_t(\mathbb{P}^\Theta, \mathbb{P}) := \left. \frac{d\mathbb{P}^\Theta}{d\mathbb{P}} \right|_{F_t}, \quad t \geq 0
\end{equation}
where $\Psi$ denotes the cumulant generating function given by (2.9) resp. (2.10).

11.2 Lemma. Let $X$ be a tempered stable process
\[ X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-) \]
under $\mathbb{P}$ and let $\Theta \in (-\lambda^-, \lambda^+)$ be arbitrary. Then we have
\[ X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^- - \Theta; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^- + \Theta) \]
under $\mathbb{P}^\Theta$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.1.3 and Example 2.1.4 in [29].

In the sequel, we assume that $\beta^+, \beta^- \in (0, 1)$. For a bilateral Gamma process (i.e. $\beta^+ = \beta^- = 0$) the existence of Esscher martingale measures was treated in [32]. We define the function $f : [-\lambda^-, \lambda^+ - 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ as
\[ f(\Theta) := f^+(\Theta) + f^-(\Theta), \]
where we have set
\[ f^+(\Theta) := \alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+)[(\lambda^+ - \Theta - 1)\beta^+ - (\lambda^+ - \Theta)\beta^+ - \lambda^- + \Theta + 1), \]
\[ f^-(\Theta) := \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-)[(\lambda^- + \Theta + 1)\beta^- - (\lambda^- + \Theta)\beta^- - \lambda^- + \Theta + 1). \]

11.3 Theorem. Let $X$ be a tempered stable process
\[ X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-) \]
under $\mathbb{P}$ with $\beta^+, \beta^- \in (0, 1)$. Then, there exists $\Theta \in (-\lambda^-, \lambda^+)$ such that $\mathbb{P}^\Theta$ is a martingale measure if and only if
\begin{align}
\lambda^+ + \lambda^- &> 1 \\
\lambda^+ + \lambda^- &> 1 \\
\alpha^+ &\Gamma(-\beta^+)[(\lambda^+ + \lambda^- - 1)\beta^+ - (\lambda^+ + \lambda^-)\beta^+] + \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-)[(\lambda^+ + \lambda^-)\beta^- - (\lambda^+ + \lambda^- - 1)\beta^-] \end{align}
and condition (11.3) is equivalent to
\[ \alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+)[(\lambda^+ + \lambda^- - 1)\beta^+ - (\lambda^+ + \lambda^-)\beta^+] + \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-)[(\lambda^+ + \lambda^-)\beta^- - (\lambda^+ + \lambda^- - 1)\beta^-] \leq \alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) + \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-)[(\lambda^+ + \lambda^-)\beta^- - (\lambda^+ + \lambda^- - 1)\beta^-]. \]
If (11.2) and (11.3) are satisfied, $\Theta$ is unique, belongs to the interval $(-\lambda^-, \lambda^+ - 1]$, and it is the unique solution of the equation
\[ f(\Theta) = r - q. \]
Moreover, we have
\[ X \sim \Gamma(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+ - \Theta; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^- + \Theta) \]
under $\mathbb{P}^\Theta$.

Proof. Let $\Theta \in (-\lambda^-, \lambda^+)$ be arbitrary. In view of Lemma 11.2 and Lemma 10.1, the probability measure $\mathbb{P}^\Theta$ is a martingale measure if and only if $\lambda^+ + \Theta \geq 1$, i.e. $\Theta \in (-\lambda^-, \lambda^+ - 1]$, and (11.4) is fulfilled. Note that $(-\lambda^-, \lambda^+ - 1] \neq \emptyset$ if and only if (11.2) is satisfied. For $f^+$ and $f^-$ we obtain the derivatives
\[ (f^+)'(\Theta) = -\alpha^+ \beta^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+)[(\lambda^+ - \Theta - 1)\beta^+ - 1] - (\lambda^+ - \Theta)\beta^+ - 1), \]
\[ (f^-)'(\Theta) = -\alpha^- \beta^- \Gamma(-\beta^-)[(\lambda^- + \Theta + 1)\beta^- - 1] - (\lambda^- + \Theta + 1)\beta^- - 1]. \]
for $\Theta \in (-\lambda^-, \lambda^+ - 1)$. Noting that $\beta^+, \beta^- \in (0, 1)$ we see that $(f^+)'(f^-)' > 0$ on the interval $(-\lambda^-, \lambda^+ - 1]$. Hence, $f$ is strictly increasing on $(-\lambda^-, \lambda^+ - 1]$, which completes the proof. \hfill \Box

11.4. Remark. In contrast to the present situation, for bilateral Gamma stock models ($\beta^+ = \beta^- = 0$) condition (11.2) alone is already sufficient for the existence of an Esscher martingale measure, cf. [32, Remark 4.4].

12. Existence of minimal entropy measures preserving the class of tempered stable processes

In the literature, one often performs option pricing by finding an equivalent martingale measure $Q \sim P$ which minimizes

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\Lambda_1(Q, P))]$$

for a strictly convex function $f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$. Here are some popular choices for the functional $f$:

- For $f(x) = x \ln x$ we call $Q$ the minimal entropy martingale measure.
- For $f(x) = x^p$ with $p > 1$ we call $Q$ the $p$-optimal martingale measure.
- For $p = 2$ we call $Q$ the variance-optimal martingale measure.

We refer to [32, Sec. 5] for further remarks and related literature. While $p$-optimal equivalent martingale measures does not exist in tempered stable stock models (which follows from [2, Ex. 2.7]), we obtain a result similar to [32, Thm. 5.3] concerning the existence of minimal entropy martingale measures, see [32, Remark 5.4]. In this section, we minimize the relative entropy

$$H(Q|P) := \mathbb{E}[\Lambda_1(Q, P) \ln \Lambda_1(Q, P)] = \mathbb{E}_Q[\ln \Lambda_1(Q, P)]$$

within the class of tempered stable processes by performing bilateral Esscher transforms.

In the sequel, we assume that $\beta^+, \beta^- \in (0, 1)$. For a bilateral Gamma process (i.e. $\beta^+ = \beta^- = 0$) the existence of minimal entropy measures was treated in [32]. We decompose the tempered stable process $X = X^+ - X^-$ as the difference of two independent subordinators and the respective cumulant generating functions are, according to (2.16), given by

$$(12.1) \quad \Psi^+(z) = \alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ (\lambda^+ - z)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} \right], \quad z \in (-\infty, \lambda^+]$$

$$(12.2) \quad \Psi^-(z) = \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) \left[ (\lambda^- - z)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)^{\beta^-} \right], \quad z \in (-\infty, \lambda^-].$$

Note that $\Psi(z) = \Psi^+(z) + \Psi^-(z)$ for $z \in [-\lambda^-, \lambda^+]$.

12.1. Definition. Let $X$ be a tempered stable process

$$X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-)$$

under $P$ and let $\theta^+ \in (-\infty, \lambda^+]$ and $\theta^- \in (-\infty, \lambda^-)$ be arbitrary. The bilateral Esscher transform $P^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}$ is defined as the locally equivalent probability measure with likelihood process

$$\Lambda_t(P^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}, P) := \frac{dP^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}(\cdot)}{dP(\cdot)} \bigg|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = e^{\theta^+ X_t^+ - \Psi^+(\theta^+) t} \cdot e^{\theta^- X_t^- - \Psi^-(\theta^-) t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Note that the Esscher transforms $P^\Theta$ from Section 11 are special cases of the just introduced bilateral Esscher transforms $P^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}$. Indeed, it holds

$$(12.3) \quad P^\Theta = P^{(\Theta, -\Theta)}, \quad \Theta \in (-\lambda^-, \lambda^+).$$
12.2. Lemma. Let $X$ be a tempered stable process
$$X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-)$$
under $\mathbb{P}$ and let $\theta^+ \in (-\infty, \lambda^+)$ and $\theta^- \in (-\infty, \lambda^-)$ be arbitrary. Then we have
$$X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+ - \theta^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^- - \theta^-)$$
under $\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.1.3 and Example 2.1.4 in [29].

12.3. Proposition. The following statements are valid:

1. If we have
$$-\alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \leq r - q,$$
then no pair $(\theta^+, \theta^-) \in (-\infty, \lambda^+) \times (-\infty, \lambda^-)$ with $\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}$ being a martingale measure exists.

2. If we have
$$-\alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) > r - q,$$
then there exist $-\infty \leq \theta^+_1 < \theta^+_2 \leq \lambda^+ - 1$ and a continuous, strictly increasing, bijective function $\Phi : (\theta^+_1, \theta^+_2) \to (-\infty, \lambda^-)$ such that:

- For all $\theta^+ \in (\theta^+_1, \theta^+_2)$ there exists a unique $\theta^- \in (-\infty, \lambda^-)$ with $\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}$ being a martingale measure, and it is given by $\theta^- = \Phi(\theta^+)$. 
- For all $\theta^+ \in (-\infty, \lambda^+) \setminus (\theta^+_1, \theta^+_2)$ no $\theta^- \in (-\infty, \lambda^-)$ with $\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}$ being a martingale measure exists.

Proof. We introduce the functions $f^+ : (-\infty, \lambda^+ - 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ and $f^- : (-\infty, \lambda^-] \to \mathbb{R}$ as
$$f^+(\theta^+) := \alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) [(\lambda^+ - \theta^+)\beta^+ - (\lambda^+ - \theta^+)\beta^+],$$
$$f^-(\theta^-) := \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) [(\lambda^- - \theta^- + 1)\beta^- - (\lambda^- - \theta^-)\beta^-].$$

By Lemmas 10.1, 12.2 the measure $\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}$ is a martingale measure if and only if $\theta^+ \in (-\infty, \lambda^+ - 1]$ and
$$f^+(\theta^+) + f^- (\theta^-) = r - q.$$ 

The function $f^+$ is continuous and strictly increasing on $(-\infty, \lambda^+ - 1]$ with
$$\lim_{\theta^+ \to -\infty} f^+(\theta^+) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f^+(\lambda^+ - 1) = -\alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) > 0.$$

The function $f^-$ is continuous and strictly decreasing on $(-\infty, \lambda^-]$ with
$$\lim_{\theta^- \to -\infty} f^-(\theta^-) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f^-(\lambda^-) = \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) < 0.$$

Therefore, if we have (12.4), then for no pair $(\theta^+, \theta^-) \in (-\infty, \lambda^+ - 1] \times (-\infty, \lambda^-)$ equation (12.6) is satisfied. If we have (12.5), then let $-\infty \leq \theta^+_1 < \theta^+_2 \leq \lambda^+ - 1$ be the unique solutions of the equations
$$f^+(\theta^+_1) = r - q,$$
$$f^+(\theta^+_2) = r - q - \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-),$$
with the conventions
$$\theta^+_1 = -\infty \quad \text{if} \quad r - q = 0,$$
$$\theta^+_2 = \lambda^+ - 1 \quad \text{if} \quad r - q - \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) > -\alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+),$$
and define

\[ \Phi(\theta^+) := (f^-)^{-1}(r - q - f^+(\theta^+)), \quad \theta^+ \in (\theta_1^+, \theta_2^+). \]

Then \( \Phi \) is continuous and strictly increasing with \( \Phi((\theta_1^+, \theta_2^+)) = (-\infty, \lambda^-) \), which finishes the proof. \( \square \)

12.4. Remark. The proof of Proposition 12.3 shows that the situation \( \theta_1^+ = -\infty \) occurs if and only if \( r = q \) and that the situation \( \theta_2^- = \lambda^+ - 1 \) occurs if and only if \( r - q \leq -\alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) + \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) \).

By Proposition 8.1, all equivalent measure transformations preserving the class of tempered stable processes are bilateral Esscher transforms. Hence, we introduce the set of parameters

\[ \mathcal{M}_\Phi := \{ (\theta^+, \theta^-) \in (-\infty, \lambda^+) \times (-\infty, \lambda^-) \mid \mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)} \text{ is a martingale measure} \} \]

such that the bilateral Esscher transform is a martingale measure. The previous Proposition 12.3 tells us that for (12.4) we have \( \mathcal{M}_\Phi = \emptyset \) and for (12.5) we have

\[ (12.7) \quad \mathcal{M}_\Phi = \{ (\theta, \Phi(\theta)) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \theta \in (\theta_1^+, \theta_2^-) \}. \]

Moreover, we remark that condition (12.5) is always fulfilled if \( r = q \).

12.5. Lemma. For all \( (\theta^+, \theta^-) \in (-\infty, \lambda^+) \times (-\infty, \lambda^-) \) we have

\[ \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)} \mid \mathbb{P}) = -\alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left( \lambda^+ \beta^+ (\lambda^+ - \theta^+) \beta^+ - (1 - \beta^+) (\lambda^+ - \theta^+)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} \right) \]

\[ - \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) \left( \lambda^- \beta^- (\lambda^- - \theta^-) \beta^- - (1 - \beta^-) (\lambda^- - \theta^-)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)^{\beta^-} \right). \]

Proof. The relative entropy of the bilateral Esscher transform is given by

\[ \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)} \mid \mathbb{P}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}}[\ln \Lambda_1(\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}, \mathbb{P})] \]

\[ = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}}[\theta^+ X_1^+ - \Psi^+(\theta^+)] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}}[\theta^- X_1^- - \Psi^-(\theta^-)]. \]

Using Lemma 12.2 we obtain

\[ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}}[\theta^+ X_1^+ - \Psi^+(\theta^+)] \]

\[ = \theta^+ \Gamma(1 - \beta^+) \frac{\alpha^+}{(\lambda^+ - \theta^+)^{1 - \beta^+}} - \alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ (\lambda^+ - \theta^+) \beta^+ - (\lambda^+) \beta^+ \right] \]

\[ = -\alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ (\beta^+ \theta^+ + \lambda^+ - \theta^+) (\lambda^+ - \theta^+)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+) \beta^+ \right] \]

\[ = -\alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ (\lambda^+ \beta^+ + (1 - \beta^+) (\lambda^+ - \theta^+)) (\lambda^+ - \theta^+) \beta^+ - (\lambda^+) \beta^+ \right] \]

\[ = -\alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ (\lambda^+ \beta^+ + (1 - \beta^+) (\lambda^+ - \theta^+)) (\lambda^+ - \theta^+) \beta^+ - (\lambda^+) \beta^+ \right] \]

An analogous calculation for \( \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}}[\theta^- X_1^- - \Psi^-(\theta^-)] \) finishes the proof. \( \square \)

12.6. Theorem. Let \( X \) be a tempered stable process

\[ X \sim TS(\alpha^+, \lambda^+, \beta^+; \alpha^-, \lambda^-, \beta^-) \]

under \( \mathbb{P} \). The following statements are valid:

1 (1) If (12.4), then we have \( \mathcal{M}_\Phi = \emptyset \).

(2) If (12.5), then there exist \( \theta^+ \in (-\infty, \lambda^+) \) and \( \theta^- \in (-\infty, \lambda^-) \) such that

\[ (12.8) \quad \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)} \mid \mathbb{P}) = \min_{(\theta^+, \theta^-) \in \mathcal{M}_\Phi} \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)} \mid \mathbb{P}). \]
By Proposition 12.3 and Lemma 12.5, for each martingale measure \( \mu \) we have \( \mathbb{P} \) measure within the class of tempered stable processes.

As mentioned at the beginning of this section, for tempered stable stock models the \( p \)-optimal martingale measure does not exist. However, as provided for the minimal entropy martingale measure, determine the \( p \)-optimal martingale measure within the class of tempered stable processes.

The \( p \)-distance of a bilateral Esscher transform is easy to compute. Indeed, since \( X^+ \) and \( X^- \) are independent, for \( p > 1 \) and \( \theta^+ = (\lambda^+ \theta, \lambda^- \theta) \), \( \theta^- = (-\infty, -\infty) \) the \( p \)-distance is given by

\[
E \left( \frac{d\mathbb{P}^{(\theta^+, \theta^-)}}{d\mathbb{P}^\theta} \right)^p = e^{-p(\Psi^+(\theta^+) + \Psi^-(\theta^-))} E[e^{\theta^+ X^+}] E[e^{\theta^- X^-}]
\]

\[
= \exp \left( - p(\Psi^+(\theta^+) + \Psi^-(\theta^-)) + \Psi^+(p\theta^+) + \Psi^-(p\theta^-) \right)
\]

\[
= \exp \left( - \alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ p(\lambda^+ - \theta^+)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} \right] - \left[ (\lambda^+ - p\theta^+)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} \right] \right)
\]

\[
- \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) \left[ p(\lambda^- - \theta^-)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)^{\beta^-} \right] - \left[ (\lambda^- - p\theta^-)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)^{\beta^-} \right] \right).
\]

A similar argumentation as in Theorem 12.6 shows that, provided condition (12.5) holds true, there exists a pair \( (\theta^+, \theta^-) \) minimizing the \( p \)-distance (12.10), and in this case we also have \( \theta^- = \Phi(\theta^+) \), where \( \theta^+ \) minimizes the function

(12.11)

\[
f_{\mu}(\theta) = -\alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left[ p(\lambda^+ - \theta^+)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} \right] - \left[ (\lambda^+ - p\theta^+)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} \right]
\]

\[
- \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) \left[ p(\lambda^- - \Phi(\theta))^\beta^- - (\lambda^-)^\beta^- \right] - \left[ (\lambda^- - p\Phi(\theta))^\beta^- - (\lambda^-)^\beta^- \right].
\]

Numerical computations for concrete examples show that \( \theta_p \to \theta \) for \( p \downarrow 1 \), where for each \( p > 1 \) the parameter \( \theta_p \) minimizes (12.11) and \( \theta \) minimizes (12.9). This is not surprising, since it is known that, under suitable technical conditions, the \( p \)-optimal martingale measure converges to the minimal entropy martingale measure.

**Proof.** If (12.4), then we have \( \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{F} = \emptyset \) by Proposition 12.3. Now suppose (12.5) and let \( \Phi : (\theta_1^+, \theta_2^+) \to (-\infty, \lambda^-) \) be the function from Proposition 12.3. Let \( f : (\theta_1^+, \theta_2^+) \to \mathbb{R} \) be the function

(12.9)

\[
f(\theta) := -\alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+) \left( \lambda^+ \beta^+ (\lambda^+ - \theta)^{\beta^+ - 1} + (1 - \beta^+)(\lambda^+ - \theta)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)^{\beta^+} \right)
\]

\[
- \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-) \left( \lambda^- \beta^- (\lambda^- - \Phi(\theta))^{\beta^- - 1} + (1 - \beta^-)(\lambda^- - \Phi(\theta))^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)^{\beta^-} \right).
\]

By Proposition 12.3 and Lemma 12.5, for each \( \theta \in (\theta_1^+, \theta_2^+) \) the measure \( \mathbb{P}^{(\theta, \Phi(\theta))} \) is a martingale measure and we have \( \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{P}^{(\theta, \Phi(\theta))} | \mathbb{P}) = f(\theta) \). The function \( \Phi \) is strictly increasing with

\[
\lim_{\theta \uparrow \theta_1^+} \Phi(\theta) = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\theta \uparrow \theta_2^+} \Phi(\theta) = \lambda^-,
\]

which gives us

\[
\lim_{\theta \uparrow \theta_1^+} f(\theta) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\theta \uparrow \theta_2^+} f(\theta) = \infty.
\]

Since \( f \) is continuous, it attains a minimum and the assertion follows. \( \square \)
for $p \downarrow 1$, see, e.g., [15, 16, 41, 23, 2, 26]. Here, we shall only give an intuitive argument. For $\alpha, \lambda > 0$ and $\beta \in (0,1)$ consider the functions

$$h(\theta) = \lambda \beta (\lambda - \theta)^{\beta - 1} + (1 - \beta) (\lambda - \theta)^\beta - \lambda \beta,$$

$$h_p(\theta) = p \left( (\lambda - \theta)^\beta - \lambda \beta \right) - \left( (\lambda - p \theta)^\beta - \lambda \beta \right).$$

Note that $h$ and $h_p$ have a global minimum at $\theta = 0$. Moreover, if $p > 1$ is close to 1, then the functions $(p-1)h(\theta)$ and $h_p(\theta)$ are very close to each other. Indeed, Taylor’s theorem shows that, for appropriate $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ which are between 0 and $\theta$, we have

$$h(\theta) = \lambda \beta (\lambda - \theta)^{\beta - 1} + (1 - \beta) \left[ \lambda \beta - \beta \lambda \beta^{\beta - 1} \theta + \frac{\beta (\beta - 1) (\lambda - \xi_1)^{\beta - 2}}{2} \theta^2 \right] - \lambda \beta$$

$$= \lambda \beta (\lambda - \theta)^{\beta - 1} - \beta \lambda \beta + \frac{\beta (\beta - 1) \lambda \beta^{\beta - 1} \theta - \beta (\beta - 1)^2 (\lambda - \xi_1)^{\beta - 2}}{2} \theta^2$$

$$\frac{\lambda (\beta - 1)(\lambda - \xi_2)^{\beta - 3} \theta^2 - \beta (\beta - 1)^2 (\lambda - \xi_1)^{\beta - 2}}{2} \theta^2)$$

$$\frac{\lambda (\beta - 1)(\lambda - \xi_2)^{\beta - 3} - (\beta - 1)(\lambda - \xi_1)^{\beta - 2}}{2} \theta^2,$$

and for appropriate $\zeta, \zeta_p \in \mathbb{R}$, which are between 0 and $\theta$, we have

$$h_p(\theta) = p \left[ - \beta \lambda \beta^{\beta - 1} \theta + \frac{\beta (\beta - 1) (\lambda - \zeta)^{\beta - 2}}{2} \theta^2 \right]$$

$$- p \left[ - \beta \lambda \beta^{\beta - 1} \theta + \frac{\beta (\beta - 1) \lambda - \zeta_p)^{\beta - 2}}{2} \theta^2 \right]$$

$$\frac{p \beta (\beta - 1)}{2} \left[ (\lambda - \zeta)^{\beta - 2} - p (\lambda - \zeta_p)^{\beta - 2} \right] \theta^2.$$
denotes the TS\((\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-)\)-distribution function and
\[
F_{\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+, \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-} = 1 - F_{\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+, \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-}.
\]

13.1. Proposition. Suppose that \(\lambda^+ > 1\). Then, the price of the call option is given by
\[
\pi = S_0 e^{(\Psi(1) - r)T}F_{\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+, \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-}(\ln(K/S_0))
- K F_{\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+, \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-}(\ln(K/S_0)).
\]

Proof. We follow an idea from [32, Sec. 8.1]. By the definition of the density (11.1) we obtain
\[
\pi = e^{-rt}\mathbb{E}(S_T - K)^+ = e^{-rt}\mathbb{E}(S_0e^{X_T} - K)^+ = S_0 e^{-rt}\mathbb{E}(e^{X_T} 1\{X_T \geq \ln(K/S_0)\}) - K \mathbb{P}(X_T \geq \ln(K/S_0))
- S_0 e^{-rt} \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{P}\left[e^{X_T} 1\{X_T \geq \ln(K/S_0)\} \frac{d\mathbb{P}}{d\mathbb{P}^1}\right]_{\mathbb{F}_T} - K \mathbb{P}(X_T \geq \ln(K/S_0)),
\]
which, in view of Lemma 11.2, provides the formula (13.1). \(\square\)

13.2. Remark. We remark that the concrete values of \(\Psi(1)\) in the option pricing formula (13.1) are given by
\[
\Psi(1) = \alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+)[(\lambda^+ - 1)\beta^+ - (\lambda^+)\beta^+] + \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-)[(\lambda^- + 1)\beta^- - (\lambda^-)\beta^-]
\]
in the tempered stable case \(\beta^+, \beta^- \in (0, 1)\), and by
\[
\Psi(1) = \alpha^+ \ln\left(\frac{\lambda^+}{\lambda^+ - 1}\right) + \alpha^- \ln\left(\frac{\lambda^-}{\lambda^- + 1}\right),
\]
in the bilateral Gamma case \(\beta^+ = \beta^- = 0\). This follows from (2.9) and (2.10).


In this section, we deal with the existence of the minimal martingale measure in tempered stable stock models. The minimal martingale measure was introduced in [13] with the motivation of constructing optimal hedging strategies. Throughout this section, we fix a finite time horizon \(T > 0\) and assume that \(\lambda^+ \geq 2\). Then the constant
\[
c = c(\alpha^+, \alpha^-, \lambda^+, \lambda^-, r, q) = \frac{\Psi(1) - (r - q)}{\Psi(2) - 2\Psi(1)},
\]
is well-defined. For technical reasons, we shall also assume that the filtration \((\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is generated by the tempered stable process
\[
X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+; \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-).
\]
As in [32, Lemma 7.1] we show that the discounted stock price process \(\hat{S}\) is a special semimartingale. Let \(\hat{S} = S_0 + M + A\) be its canonical decomposition and let \(Z\) be the stochastic exponential
\[
\hat{Z}_t = \mathcal{E}\left(-\int_0^t \frac{c}{S_{s^-}}dM_s\right), \quad t \in [0, T]
\]
Then the (possibly signed) measure
\[
\frac{d\mathbb{P}}{d\mathbb{P}} := \hat{Z}_T
\]
is the so-called minimal martingale measure for \(\hat{S}\).
14.1. **Theorem.** Suppose that $\beta^+, \beta^- \in (0, 1)$. The following statements are equivalent:

1. $\hat{Z}$ is a strict martingale density for $\tilde{S}$.
2. $\hat{Z}$ is a strictly positive $\mathbb{P}$-martingale.
3. We have $-1 \leq c \leq 0$.

(14.4)

4. We have

\[
\alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+)[(\lambda^+ - 1)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+)^{\beta^+}] \\
+ \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-)[(\lambda^- + 1)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^-)^{\beta^-}] \leq r - q
\]

(14.5)

and

\[
\alpha^+ \Gamma(-\beta^+)[(\lambda^+ - 1)^{\beta^+} - (\lambda^+ - 2)^{\beta^+}] \\
+ \alpha^- \Gamma(-\beta^-)[(\lambda^- + 1)^{\beta^-} - (\lambda^- + 2)^{\beta^-}] \leq -(r - q).
\]

(14.6)

If the previous conditions are satisfied, then under the minimal martingale measure $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ we have

\[
X \sim \text{TS}((c + 1)\alpha^+, \beta^+; (c + 1)\alpha^-, \beta^-)
\]

\[
\ast \text{TS}(-c\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+ - 1; -c\alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^- + 1).
\]

(14.7)

14.2. **Remark.** Relation (14.7) means that under $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ the driving process $X$ is the sum of two independent tempered stable processes. There are the following two boundary values:

- **In the case** $c = 0$ we have $X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+, \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^-)$ under $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$,

  i.e., the minimal martingale measure $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ coincides with the physical measure $\mathbb{P}$. Indeed, the definition (14.1) of $c$ and Lemma 10.1 show that $\mathbb{P}$ already is a martingale measure for $\tilde{S}$.

- **In the case** $c = -1$ we have $X \sim \text{TS}(\alpha^+, \beta^+, \lambda^+ - 1, \alpha^-, \beta^-, \lambda^- + 1)$ under $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$,

  i.e., the minimal martingale measure $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ coincides with the Esscher transform $\mathbb{P}^\Theta$, see Theorem 11.3. Indeed, the definition (14.1) of $c$ shows that equation (11.4) is satisfied with $\Theta = 1$.

**Proof.** We only have to show the equivalence (3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4), as the rest follows by arguing as in the proof of [32, Thm. 7.3]. We observe that (14.4) is equivalent to the two conditions

\[
\Psi(1) \leq r - q \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(1) - \Psi(2) \leq -(r - q),
\]

and, in view of the cumulant generating function given by (2.9), these two conditions are fulfilled if and only if we have (14.5) and (14.6).

As outlined at the end of [32, Sec. 7], under the minimal martingale measure $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ we can construct a trading strategy $\xi$ which minimizes the quadratic hedging error. The arguments transfer to our present situation with a driving tempered stable process.
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